## CHAPTER 5: MOLECULAR ORBITALS

5.1 There are three possible bonding interactions:
$\bigcirc$
$p_{z}$
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5.2 a. $\quad \mathrm{Li}_{2}$ has a bond order of 1.0 (two electrons in a $\sigma$ bonding orbital; see Figures 5.7 and 5.1). $\mathrm{Li}_{2}{ }^{+}$has a bond order of only 0.5 (one electron in a $\sigma$ bonding orbital). Therefore, $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$ has the shorter bond.
b. $\quad \mathrm{F}_{2}$ has a bond order of 1.0 (see Figure 5.7). $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{+}$has one less antibonding $\left(\pi^{*}\right)$ electron and a higher bond order, 1.5. $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{+}$would be expected to have the shorter bond.
c. Expected bond orders (see Figure 5.1):

|  | Bonding electrons | Antibonding electrons | Bond order |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{He}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | 2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}(2-1)=0.5$ |
| $\mathrm{HHe}^{+}$ | 2 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}(2-0)=1$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$ | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}(2-1)=0.5$ |

Both $\mathrm{He}_{2}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$have bond orders of 0.5 . $\mathrm{HHe}^{+}$would therefore be expected to have the shortest bond because it has a bond order of 1 .
5.3 a. These diatomic molecules should have similar bond orders to the analogous diatomics from the row directly above them in the periodic table:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{2} & \text { bond order }=3\left(\text { like } \mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{S}_{2} & \text { bond order }=2\left(\text { like } \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \text { bond order }=1\left(\text { like } \mathrm{F}_{2}\right)
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \text { has the weakest bond. } .
$$

b. The bond orders match those of the analogous oxygen species (Section 5.2.3):

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{S}_{2}^{+} & \text {bond order }=2.5 & \\
\mathrm{~S}_{2} & \text { bond order }=2 & \\
\mathrm{~S}_{2}^{-} & \text {bond order }=1.5 & \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{-} \text {has the weakest bond. }
\end{array}
$$

c. Bond orders:
$\mathrm{NO}^{+} \quad$ bond order $=3$ (isoelectronic with CO, Figure 5.13)
NO bond order $=2.5$ (one more (antibonding) electron than CO)
$\mathrm{NO}^{-}$bond order $=2$ (two more (antibonding) electrons than CO)
$\mathrm{NO}^{-}$has the lowest bond order and therefore the weakest bond.
5.4
$\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ has a single bond, with four electrons in the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals canceling those in the $\pi$ orbitals.

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has two unpaired electrons in its $\pi^{*}$ orbitals, and a bond order of 2. The simple Lewis structure has all electrons paired, which does not match the paramagnetism observed experimentally.

Bond lengths are therefore in the order $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}>\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}>\mathrm{O}_{2}$, and bond strengths are the reverse of this order.

O

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-} \\
: \ddot{O}-\ddot{O}:
\end{array}
$$

o
$\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$has three electrons in the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals, and a bond order of 1.5 . The Lewis structures have an unpaired electron and an average bond order of 1.5.



| $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ | 3 | 119 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ | 2 | 122.4 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ | 1 | 149 (very long) | 0 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 2 | 120.7 | 2 |

The bond distance in $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ is very close to the expected bond distance for a diatomic with 12 valence electrons, as shown in Figure 5.8.
5.6 The energy level pattern would be similar to the one shown in Figure 5.5, with the interacting orbitals the $3 s$ and $3 p$ rather than $2 s$ and $2 p$. All molecular orbitals except the highest would be occupied by electron pairs, and the highest orbital $\left(\sigma_{u}{ }^{*}\right)$ would be singly occupied, giving a bond order of 0.5 . Because the bond in $\mathrm{Ar}_{2}{ }^{+}$would be weaker than in $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Ar}$ distance would be expected to be longer (calculated to be $>300 \mathrm{pm}$; see the reference).
5.7 a. The energy level diagram for NO is on the right. The odd electron is in a $\pi_{2 p}{ }^{*}$ orbital.
b. $\quad \mathrm{O}$ is more electronegative than N , so its orbitals are slightly lower in energy. The bonding orbitals are slightly more concentrated on O .
c. The bond order is 2.5 , with one unpaired
electron.
d. $\quad \mathrm{NO}^{+} \quad$ Bond order $=3$
shortest bond (106 pm)
NO Bond order $=2.5$
intermediate ( 115 pm )

$\mathrm{NO}^{-} \quad$ Bond order $=2$
longest bond ( 127 pm ), two electrons in antibonding orbitals.
5.8 a. The $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$energy level diagram is similar to that of NO (Problem 5.7) without the antibonding $\pi^{*}$ electron.
b. The bond order is three, with no unpaired electrons.
c. The HOMO is the $\sigma_{2 p}$ orbital, which can interact with the $1 s$ of the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, as in the diagram at right. The bonding orbital has an energy near that of the $\pi$ orbitals; the antibonding orbital becomes the highest energy orbital.

5.9 a. A diagram is sketched at the right. Since the difference in valence orbital potential energy between the $2 s$ of $\mathrm{N}(-25.56 \mathrm{eV})$ and the $2 p$ of $\mathrm{F}(-18.65 \mathrm{eV})$ is 6.91 eV , the $\sigma_{2 p}$ orbital is expected to be higher in energy relative to the degenerate $\pi_{2 p}$ set.
b. NF is isoelectronic (has the same number of valence electrons) with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Therefore, NF is predicted to be paramagnetic with a bond order of 2 . The populations of the bonding ( 8 electrons) and antibonding (4 electrons) molecular orbitals in the diagram suggest a double bond.
c. The $\sigma_{2 s}, \sigma_{2 s}{ }^{*}, \sigma_{2 p}$, and $\sigma_{2 p}{ }^{*}$ orbitals exhibit $C_{\infty v}$ symmetry, with the NF bond axis the infinite-fold
 rotation axis. The $\pi_{2 p}$ and $\pi_{2 p}{ }^{*}$ orbitals exhibit $C_{s}$ symmetry. The latter do not possess $C_{2}$ rotation axes coincident to the infinite-fold rotation axis of the $\sigma$ orbitals on the basis of the change in wave function sign upon crossing the nodes on the bond axis.
5.10 a. $\mathrm{OF}^{-}$has 14 valence electrons, four in the $\pi_{2 p} *$ orbitals (see the diagram in the answer to Problem 5.9).
b. The net result is a single bond between two very electronegative atoms, and no unpaired electrons.
c. The concentration of electrons in the $\pi^{*}$ orbital is more on the O , so combination with the positive proton at that end is more likely. In fact, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$bonds to the oxygen atom, at an angle of $97^{\circ}$, as if the bonding were through a $p$ orbital on O .
5.11 The molecular orbital description of $\mathrm{KrF}^{+}$would predict that this ion, which has the same number of valence electrons as $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, would have a single bond. $\mathrm{KrF}_{2}$ would also be expected, on the basis of the VSEPR approach, to have single $\mathrm{Kr}-\mathrm{F}$ bonds, in addition to three lone pairs on Kr . Reported $\mathrm{Kr}-\mathrm{F}$ distances: $\mathrm{KrF}^{+}: 176.5-178.3 \mathrm{pm} ; \mathrm{KrF}_{2}: 186.8-188.9 \mathrm{pm}$. The presence of lone pairs in $\mathrm{KrF}_{2}$ may account for the longer bond distances in this compound.
5.12 a. The $\mathrm{KrBr}^{+}$energy level diagram is at the right.
b. The HOMO is polarized toward Br , since its energy is closer to that of the $\operatorname{Br} 4 p$ orbital.
c. Bond order = 1

d. $\quad \mathrm{Kr}$ is more electronegative. Its greater nuclear charge exerts a stronger pull on the shared electrons.

5.13 The energy level diagram for $\mathrm{SH}^{-}$is shown below. A bond order of 1 is predicted.

The S orbital energies are $-22.7 \mathrm{eV}(3 s)$ and $-11.6 \mathrm{eV}(3 p)$; the $1 s$ of H has an energy of -13.6 eV . Because of the difference in their atomic orbital energies, the $1 s$ orbital of hydrogen and the $3 s$ orbital of sulfur interact only weakly; this is shown in the diagram by a slight stabilization of the lowest energy molecular orbital with respect to the $3 s$ orbital of sulfur. This lowest energy orbital is essentially nonbonding. These orbitals are similar in appearance to those of HF in Example 5.3, with more balanced contribution of the hydrogen $1 s$ and sulfur valence orbitals since the valence orbitals of sulfur are closer to the energy of the hydrogen $1 s$ orbital than the valence orbitals of fluorine.

5.14 a. The group orbitals on the hydrogen atoms are
and


The first group orbital interacts with the $2 s$ orbital on carbon:


And the second group orbital interacts with a $2 p$ orbital on carbon:


Carbon's remaining $2 p$ orbitals are
 nonbonding.
b. Linear $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ is a paramagnetic diradical, with one electron in each of the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals of carbon. (A bent singlet state, with all electrons paired, is also known, with a calculated bond angle of approximately $130^{\circ}$.)
5.15 a. $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$

Group Orbitals:
Be Orbitals with
Matching Symmetry
MO Diagram:
H $\quad \mathrm{Be} \quad \mathrm{H}$
$\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{Be} \quad \mathrm{H}$
$\bigcirc \cdot \bigcirc$
$2 s$

- ${ }^{\circ}$
$2 p_{z}$


$$
\text { -Be. } \quad \mathrm{HBeH} \quad \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{H}
$$

b. The energy level diagrams for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ feature the same orbital interactions. One difference is that the different number of valence electrons renders the linear species $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ diamagnetic and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ paramagnetic. The energy difference between the Be and H valence orbitals is larger than that between the valence orbitals of C and H , and both the $2 s$ and $2 p$ orbitals of Be are higher in energy than the $1 s$ orbital of H . The result is greater bond polarity in $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$.
5.16 $\mathrm{BeF}_{2}$ uses $s$ and $p$ orbitals on all three atoms, and is isoelectronic with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The energy level diagram for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in Figure 5.25 can be used as a guide, with the orbitals of Be higher in energy than those of C and the orbitals of F lower in energy than those of O . Calculated molecular orbital shapes are below, for comparison for those of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in Figure 5.25.


Of the occupied orbitals, there are three bonding (two $\pi$ and one $\sigma$ ) and five nonbonding (two $\pi$ and three $\sigma$ ). (References: W. R. Wadt, W. A. Goddard III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 5996; R. Gleiter, R. Hoffmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 5457; C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., I. Shavitt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 739.)
5.17 a. The group orbitals of the fluorines are:

b. The matching orbitals on xenon are:




5.18
 The point group of $\mathrm{TaH}_{5}$ is $C_{4 v}$.
2. Axes can be assigned as shown:

3. Construction of reducible representation:

| $C_{4 v}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{4}$ | $C_{2}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ | $2 \sigma_{d}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma$ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z$ | $z^{2}$ |
| $A_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | $R_{z}$ |  |
| $B_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |  | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ |
| $B_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |  | $x y$ |
| $E$ | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | $(x, y),\left(R_{x}, R_{y}\right)$ | $(x z, y z)$ |

4. $\quad \Gamma$ reduces to $2 A_{1}+B_{1}+E$

5, 6. Two group orbitals, shown at right, have $A_{1}$ symmetry. These may interact with the $p_{z}, d_{z^{2}}$, and $s$ orbitals of Ta.

One group orbital has $B_{1}$ symmetry. It can interact with the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital of Ta.

A degenerate pair of group orbitals has E symmetry. It may interact with the $\left(p_{x}, p_{y}\right)$ and $\left(d_{x z}, d_{y z}\right)$ pairs of Ta.


5.19 The energy level diagram for $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ with the simple combinations of $s$ and $p$ orbitals is shown below. Mixing of $s$ and $p$ orbitals is fairly small, showing mostly in the four lowest orbitals. The order of orbitals may vary depending on the calculation method (for example, PM3 and AM1 methods reverse the orders of HOMO and HOMO -1).

$$
\underbrace{e^{8} \cdot 8^{8} \cdot e^{\infty} \cdot}
$$

5.20 $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ has molecular orbitals similar to those of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ (Section 5.4.6). The irreducible representations below are labeled for the oxygen orbitals.

| $D_{3 h}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 S_{3}$ | $3 \sigma_{v}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma(s)$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\Gamma\left(p_{v}\right)$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\Gamma\left(p_{x}\right) \\|$ | 3 | 0 | -1 | 3 | 0 | -1 |  |
| $\Gamma\left(p_{z}\right) \perp$ | 3 | 0 | -1 | -3 | 0 | 1 |  |
| $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| $A_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |  |
| $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $z$ |
| $E^{\prime}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 0 | $(x, y)$ |
| $E^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 0 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma & \Gamma(s)=A_{1}^{\prime}+E^{\prime} & \text { Sulfur } s, p_{x}, \text { and } p_{y} \\
\sigma & \Gamma\left(p_{y}\right)=A_{1}^{\prime}+E^{\prime} & \text { Sulfur } s, p_{x}, \text { and } p_{y} \\
\pi_{\|} & \Gamma\left(p_{x}\right)=A_{2}^{\prime}+E^{\prime} & \text { Sulfur } p_{x} \text { and } p_{y} \\
\pi_{\perp} & \Gamma\left(p_{z}\right)=A_{2}^{\prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime} & \text { Sulfur } p_{z}
\end{array}
$$

5.21 As a cyclic (triangular) ion, $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$has a pair of electrons in a bonding orbital and two vacant orbitals that are slightly antibonding:

5.22 The thiocyanate ion, $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$, has molecular orbitals similar to those of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, but with more mixing between the $s$ orbital of C and the $s$ and $p$ orbitals of S . The valence orbital potential energies of S are very close to those of C, and those of N are only slightly lower. There is significant double bonding in thiocyanate on the basis of this excellent orbital energy compatibility. This is consistent with the resonance structures shown at right, with the top structure favored.


For cyanate, $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$, the $s$ and $p$ orbitals of carbon effectively interact with valence orbitals on the N side, but less on the O side because the oxygen orbital energies are much more negative. The structures described in Section 3.1.3 (a mix of two double bonds and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ ) fit this ion also.

For fulminate, $\mathrm{CNO}^{-}$, the large differences between the C and O orbital energies render the contributions of the terminal atom orbitals to the group orbitals relatively uneven. A practical result of this imbalance is less delocalization of electron density within this anion. This is
suggested by the formal charge effects described in Example 3.3. As a result, the bonding in this ion is weak. Fulminate is stable only when complexed with a metal ion.
5.23 The highest occupied orbitals in $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$or $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$are $\pi$ nonbonding orbitals (see Figure 5.25 for the similar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ orbitals). Combination with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or with metal ions depends on the energy match of these orbitals with those of the positive ion. The preference for attack can be examined by comparing the energies of the valence orbitals of the terminal atoms that contribute to these nonbonding molecular orbitals. For example, the H $1 s$ orbital energy matches the energy of the N valence orbitals orbital better than either the S or O valence orbitals, and the nitrogen atom is the site of protonation in both anions. The energies of metal ion valence orbitals vary from element to element (and with oxidation state). Some metal ions will be more compatible energetically with the $S$ orbitals while others will be more compatible with the N orbitals. These electronic effects contribute to which site of thiocyanate is appropriate for bonding to metals. The S can also use the empty $3 d$ orbitals to accept electron density via $\pi$ bonding from some metal ions.
5.24 The $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$molecular orbitals are similar to those of CO (Figure 5.13), but with less difference between the C and N atomic orbital energies than between C and O orbitals. As a result, the HOMO should be more evenly balanced between the two atoms, and bonding at both ends seems more likely with $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$relative CO . The Prussian blue structures $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{4}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]_{3}\right.$ or $\mathrm{KFe}\left(\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right)$ have iron and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$in arrangements that have both $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds.
5.25 a. The resonance structures were considered in Problem 3.3, showing bent structures with primarily double bond character in $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}$ and single bonding in $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$. $\mathrm{SNO}^{-}$is more stable on the basis of formal charges.
b. The molecular orbitals should be similar to those of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, with more mixing of $s$ and $p$ orbitals because of the difference between atomic orbital energies of S and O as terminal atoms. The $\pi$ bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding orbitals are numbers 6,9, and 10 in the ozone diagram in the Problem 5.19 answer.

The relative contributions of the valence orbitals of each atom to the $\pi$ molecular orbitals of $\mathrm{SNO}^{-}$can be partially rationalized on the basis of electronegativity. In the $\pi$ bonding orbital, the electron density is highest on the most electronegative O atom, a reasonable expectation for the most stabilized $\pi$ interaction. In the $\pi$ antibonding orbital, the electron density is highest on the least electronegative S atom, a feature that contributes to the destabilization of this orbital. The $\pi$ molecular orbitals of $\mathrm{NSO}^{-}$are not as clearly explained by these electronegativity arguments, possibly due to the ability of S to expand its valence shell to increase its participation in bonding as a central atom.

$\pi$ $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}^{-}$


$\stackrel{\pi_{n}}{\mathrm{~N}}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}^{-}$



$$
\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}^{-}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi^{*} \\
\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

c. The calculated bond distances for these ions are:

| ion | N-S | S-O | N-O |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{SNO}^{-}$ | 171 pm |  | 120 pm |
| $\mathrm{NSO}^{-}$ | 146 pm | 149 pm |  |

$\mathrm{NSO}^{-}$has the shorter $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ bond and the higher energy $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ stretching vibration.
$5.26 \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. Figure 5.26 defines the coordinate system to be used. The representation that describes the symmetry of the group orbitals (Section 5.4.3) is $A_{1}+B_{1}$. The next step is to track the fate of one of the hydrogen $1 s$ orbitals as the symmetry operations are carried out:

| Original Orbital | $E$ | $C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{v(x z)}$ | $\sigma_{v(y z)}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $H_{1 s(a)}$ becomes... | $H_{1 s(a)}$ | $H_{1 s(b)}$ | $H_{1 s(a)}$ | $H_{1 s(b)}$ |

Now we multiply these outcomes by the characters associated with
 each operation for the $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$ representations, and then add the results to obtain the linear combinations of the $\mathrm{H} 1 s$ atomic orbitals that define the group orbitals.

|  | $E$ |  | $C_{2}$ |  | $\sigma_{v(x z)}$ |  | $\sigma_{v(y z)}^{\prime}$ | SALCs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}$ | $H_{1 s(a)}$ | + | $H_{1 s(b)}$ | + | $H_{1 s(a)}$ | + | $H_{1 s(b)}$ | $=2\left(H_{1 s(a)}\right)+2\left(H_{1 s(b)}\right)$ |
| $B_{1}$ | $H_{1 s(a)}$ | - | $H_{1 s(b)}$ | + | $H_{1 s(a)}$ | - | $H_{1 s(b)}$ | $=2\left(H_{1 s(a)}\right)-2\left(H_{1 s(b)}\right)$ |

Each group orbital equation must be normalized, so that the sum of the squares of the coefficients within each equation equals 1 . The normalization factors, $\left.N=\left(\sqrt{\left(c_{a}^{2}+c_{b}^{2}\right.}\right)\right)^{-1}$, where $c_{a}$ and $c_{b}$ are the lowest common integer coefficients for the hydrogen $1 s$ orbital wave functions in each SALC, are:

$$
\left.A_{1}: N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad B_{1}: N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

This results in the normalized SALC equations for the two group orbitals:

$$
A_{1}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi\left(H_{a}\right)+\Psi\left(H_{b}\right)\right] \quad B_{1}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi\left(H_{a}\right)-\Psi\left(H_{b}\right)\right] .
$$

SALC Coefficients and Evidence of Normalization:

| Coefficients in Normalized SALCs |  |  |  | Squares of SALC <br> Coefficients |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{a}$ | $c_{b}$ | $c_{a}^{2}$ | $c_{b}^{2}$ | Sum of Squares =1 <br> for Normalization |
| $A_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| $B_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| Sum of the squares for each $1 s$ wavefunction <br> must total 1 for an identical contribution of <br> each atomic orbital to the group orbitals | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |

5.27 The irreducible representation associated with the $2 s$ set is $\Gamma=A_{1}{ }^{\prime}+E^{\prime}$. The atoms will be labeled as shown. The next step is to track the fate of one of the fluorine $2 s$ orbitals as the symmetry operations are carried out:

| Original Orbital | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{3}^{2}$ | $C_{2(a)}$ | $C_{2(b)}$ | $C_{2(c)}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{3}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{v(a)}$ | $\sigma_{v(b)}$ | $\sigma_{v(c)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 s_{a}$ becomes... | $2 s_{a}$ | $2 s_{b}$ | $2 s_{c}$ | $2 s_{a}$ | $2 s_{c}$ | $2 s_{b}$ | $2 s_{a}$ | $2 s_{b}$ | $2 s_{c}$ | $2 s_{a}$ | $2 s_{c}$ | $2 s_{b}$ |

Now we multiply these outcomes by the characters associated with each operation for $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ in the $D_{3 h}$ character table to obtain the linear combination. All of the characters are 1 for the totally symmetric $A_{1}^{\prime}$ irreducible representation. Therefore:
$A_{1}{ }^{\prime}: 2 s_{a}+2 s_{b}+2 s_{c}+2 s_{a}+2 s_{c}+2 s_{b}+2 s_{a}+2 s_{b}+2 s_{c}+2 s_{a}+2 s_{c}+2 s_{b}=4\left(2 s_{a}\right)+4\left(2 s_{b}\right)+4\left(2 s_{c}\right)$
The lowest common integer coefficient is 1 , and $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. The normalized $A_{1}^{\prime}$ SALC is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\Psi\left(2 s_{a}\right)+\Psi\left(2 s_{b}\right)+\Psi\left(2 s_{c}\right)\right]$. Next we multiply the terms in the table above by the characters of the $E^{\prime}$ irreducible representation. The characters for $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v}$ are 0 , so multiplication by these characters leads to no contribution to the SALC:

$$
E^{\prime}: 2\left(2 s_{a}\right)-2 s_{b}-2 s_{c}+2\left(2 s_{a}\right)-2 s_{b}-2 s_{c}=4\left(2 s_{a}\right)-2\left(2 s_{b}\right)-2\left(2 s_{c}\right) .
$$

Reduction to the lowest common integer coefficient affords $2\left(2 s_{a}\right)-\left(2 s_{b}\right)-\left(2 s_{c}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\left(\sqrt{(2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} . \text { The normalized } E^{\prime} \text { SALC is therefore } \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[2\left(\Psi\left(2 s_{a}\right)-\Psi\left(2 s_{b}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{c}\right)\right] .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining $E^{\prime}$ SALC can be deduced by examination of the coefficients and the sums of their squares.

|  | Coefficients of Normalized SALCs |  |  |  | Squares of SALC Coefficients |  | Sum of the <br> Squares $=1$ <br> for <br> Normalization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{a}$ | $c_{b}$ | $c_{c}$ | $c_{a}^{2}$ | $c_{b}^{2}$ | $c_{c}^{2}$ |  |
| $A_{1}^{\prime}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime}$ | $\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| Sum of the squares for each 2s wavefunction must <br> total 1 for an identical contribution of each atomic <br> orbital to the group orbitals | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Since the sum of the squares of the coefficients for $2 s_{a}$ equals 1 without any contribution from the second $E^{\prime} \operatorname{SALC}$, this SALC must have $c_{a}=0$. The squares of $c_{b}$ and $c_{c}$ must equal $\frac{1}{2}$ to satisfy the normalization and identical contribution from each orbital requirements. Since $E^{\prime}$ matches the symmetry of the $x$ and $y$ axes, and the origin is the center of the group orbital (the boron atom), one of the coefficients must be positive and the other negative. The second $E^{\prime}$ SALC is therefore $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi\left(2 s_{b}\right)-\Psi\left(2 s_{c}\right)\right]$.
The irreducible representation associated with the $2 p_{y}$ set is also $\Gamma=A_{1}^{\prime}+E^{\prime}$.
The atoms are labeled as shown. The fate of one of the fluorine $2 p_{y}$ orbitals as the symmetry operations are carried out is:


|  | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{3}^{2}$ | $C_{2(a)}$ | $C_{2(b)}$ | $C_{2(c)}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{3}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{v(a)}$ | $\sigma_{v(b)}$ | $\sigma_{v(c)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 p_{y(a)}$ <br> becomes... | $2 p_{y(a)}$ | $2 p_{y(b)}$ | $2 p_{y(c)}$ | $2 p_{y(a)}$ | $2 p_{y(c)}$ | $2 p_{y(b)}$ | $2 p_{y(a)}$ | $2 p_{y(b)}$ | $2 p_{y(c)}$ | $2 p_{y(a)}$ | $2 p_{y(c)}$ | $2 p_{y(b)}$ |

Now we multiply these outcomes by the characters associated with each operation for $A_{1}^{\prime}$ in the
$D_{3 h}$ character table. All of the characters are 1 for the $A_{1}^{\prime}$ irreducible representation. Therefore:
$A_{1}{ }^{\prime}: 2 p_{y(a)}+2 p_{y(b)}+2 p_{y(c)}+2 p_{y(a)}+2 p_{y(c)}+2 p_{y(b)}+2 p_{y(a)}+2 p_{y(b)}+2 p_{y(c)}+2 p_{y(a)}+2 p_{y(c)}+2 p_{y(b)}$ $=4\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)+4\left(2 p_{y(b)}\right)+4\left(2 p_{y(c)}\right)$.

The lowest common integer coefficient is 1 , and $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. The normalized $A_{1}^{\prime}$ SALC is therefore $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{y(b)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{y(c)}\right)\right]$.

Next we multiply the terms in the table above by the characters of the $E^{\prime}$ irreducible representation. The characters for $C_{2}$ and $\sigma_{v}$ are 0 , so multiplication by these characters leads to no contribution to the SALC:
$E^{\prime}: 2\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)-2 p_{y(b)}-2 p_{y(c)}+2\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)-2 p_{y(b)}-2 p_{y(c)}=4\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)-2\left(2 p_{y(b)}\right)-2\left(2 p_{y(c)}\right)$.
Reduction to the lowest common integer coefficient affords $2\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)-\left(2 p_{y(b)}\right)-\left(2 p_{y(c)}\right)$, and $N=\left(\sqrt{(2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$. The normalized $E^{\prime}$ SALC is
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[2\left(\Psi\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{y(b)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{y(c)}\right)\right]\right.$.
The remaining $E^{\prime}$ SALC can be deduced by examination of the coefficients and the sums of their squares.

|  | Coefficients of Normalized SALCs |  |  | Squares of SALC Coefficients |  |  | Sum of the <br> Squares $=1$ <br> for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{a}$ | $c_{b}$ | $c_{c}$ | $c_{a}^{2}$ | $c_{b}^{2}$ | $c_{c}^{2}$ |  |
| $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime}$ | $\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| Sum of the squares for each $2 p_{y}$ wavefunction must total 1 for an identical contribution of each atomic orbital to the group orbitals |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

Since the sum of the squares of the coefficients for $2 p_{y(a)}$ equals 1 without any contribution from the second $E^{\prime}$ SALC, this SALC must have $c_{a}=0$. The squares of $c_{b}$ and $c_{c}$ must equal $\frac{1}{2}$ to satisfy the normalization and identical contribution from each orbital requirements. Since $E^{\prime}$ matches the symmetry of the $x$ and $y$ axes, and the origin is the center of the group orbital (the boron atom), one of the coefficients must be positive and the other negative. The second $E^{\prime}$
SALC is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{y(b)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{y(c)}\right)\right]$.
The irreducible representation associated with the $2 p_{x}$ set is $\Gamma=A_{2}{ }^{\prime}+E^{\prime}$. The atoms are labeled as shown. The fate of one of the fluorine $2 p_{x}$ orbitals as the symmetry operations are carried out is:


|  | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $C_{2(a)}$ | $C_{2(b)}$ | $C_{2(c)}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $\sigma_{v(a)}$ | $\sigma_{v(b)}$ | $\sigma_{v(c)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 p_{x(a)}$ <br> becomes... | $2 p_{x(a)}$ | $2 p_{x(b)}$ | $2 p_{x(c)}$ | $-2 p_{x(a)}$ | $-2 p_{x(c)}$ | $-2 p_{x(b)}$ | $2 p_{x(a)}$ | $2 p_{x(b)}$ | $2 p_{x(c)}$ | $-2 p_{x(a)}$ | $-2 p_{x(c)}$ | $-2 p_{x(b)}$ |

Now we multiply these outcomes by the characters associated with each operation for $A_{2}^{\prime}$ in the $D_{3 h}$ character table:
$A_{2}^{\prime}: 2 p_{x(a)}+2 p_{x(b)}+2 p_{x(c)}+2 p_{x(a)}+2 p_{x(c)}+2 p_{x(b)}+2 p_{x(a)}+2 p_{x(b)}+2 p_{x(c)}+2 p_{x(a)}+2 p_{y(c)}+2 p_{x(b)}$ $=4\left(2 p_{x(a)}\right)+4\left(2 p_{x(b)}\right)+4\left(2 p_{x(c)}\right)$

The lowest common integer coefficient is 1 , and $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. The normalized $A_{2}^{\prime}$ SALC is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{x(a)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{x(b)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{x(c)}\right)\right]$.
Next we multiply the terms in the table by the characters of the $E^{\prime}$ irreducible representation.

$$
E^{\prime}: 2\left(2 p_{x(a)}\right)-2 p_{x(b)}-2 p_{x(c)}+2\left(2 p_{y(a)}\right)-2 p_{x(b)}-2 p_{x(c)}=4\left(2 p_{x(a)}\right)-2\left(2 p_{x(b)}\right)-2\left(2 p_{x(c)}\right)
$$

Reduction to the lowest common integer coefficient affords $2\left(2 p_{x(a)}\right)-\left(2 p_{x(b)}\right)-\left(2 p_{x(c)}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\left(\sqrt{(2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} . \text { The normalized } E^{\prime} \text { SALC is } \\
& \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[2\left(\Psi\left(2 p_{x(a)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{x(b)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{x(c)}\right)\right] .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining $E^{\prime}$ SALC can be deduced by examination of the coefficients and the sums of their squares.

|  | Coefficients of Normalized SALCs |  |  | Squares of SALC Coefficients |  |  | Sum of the <br> Squares $=1$ for <br> Normalization Requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{a}$ | $c_{b}$ | $c_{c}$ | $c_{a}^{2}$ | $c_{b}^{2}$ | $c_{c}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| $A_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime}$ | $\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| Sum of the squares for each $2 p_{x}$ wavefunction must total 1 for an identical contribution of each atomic orbital to the group orbitals |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

Since the sum of the squares of the coefficients for $2 p_{x(a)}$ equals 1 without any contribution from the second $E^{\prime}$ SALC, this SALC must have $c_{a}=0$. The squares of $c_{b}$ and $c_{c}$ must equal $\frac{1}{2}$ to satisfy the normalization and identical contribution from each orbital requirements. Because $E^{\prime}$ matches the symmetry of the $x$ and $y$ axes, and the origin is the center of the group orbital (the boron atom), one of the coefficients must be positive and the other negative. The second $E^{\prime}$
SALC is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{x(b)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{x(c)}\right)\right]$.

The irreducible representation associated with the $2 p_{z}$ set is

$\Gamma=A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}+E^{\prime \prime}$. The atoms are labeled as shown. The fate of one of the
fluorine $2 p_{z}$ orbitals as the symmetry operations are carried out is:


|  | $E$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{3}^{2}$ | $C_{2(a)}$ | $C_{2(b)}$ | $C_{2(c)}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{3}^{2}$ | $\sigma_{v(a)}$ | $\sigma_{v(b)}$ | $\sigma_{v(c)}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 p_{z(a)}$ <br> becomes... | $2 p_{z(a)}$ | $2 p_{z(b)}$ | $2 p_{z(c)}$ | $-2 p_{z(a)}$ | $-2 p_{z(c)}$ | $-2 p_{z(b)}$ | $-2 p_{z(a)}$ | $-2 p_{z(b)}$ | $-2 p_{z(c)}$ | $2 p_{z(a)}$ | $2 p_{z(c)}$ | $2 p_{z(b)}$ |

Now we multiply these outcomes by the characters associated with each operation for $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in the $D_{3 h}$ character table:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}^{\prime \prime}: 2 p_{z(a)}+2 p_{z(b)}+2 p_{z(c)}+2 p_{z(a)}+2 p_{z(c)}+2 p_{z(b)}+2 p_{z(a)}+2 p_{z(b)}+2 p_{z(c)}+2 p_{x(a)}+2 p_{z(c)}+2 p_{z(b)} \\
& =4\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)+4\left(2 p_{z(b)}\right)+4\left(2 p_{z(c)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The lowest common integer coefficient is 1 , and $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. The normalized $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ SALC is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(b)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(c)}\right)\right]$.

Next we multiply the terms in the table by the characters of the $E^{\prime \prime}$ irreducible representation:

$$
E^{\prime \prime}: 2\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)-2 p_{z(b)}-2 p_{z(c)}+2\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)-2 p_{z(b)}-2 p_{z(c)}=4\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)-2\left(2 p_{z(b)}\right)-2\left(2 p_{z(c)}\right) .
$$

Reduction to the lowest common integer coefficient affords $2\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)-\left(2 p_{z(b)}\right)-\left(2 p_{z(c)}\right)$, and $N=\left(\sqrt{(2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$. The normalized $E^{\prime \prime}$ SALC is
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[2\left(\Psi\left(2 p_{z(a)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(b)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(c)}\right)\right]\right.$.
The remaining $E^{\prime \prime}$ SALC can be deduced by examination of the coefficients and the sums of their squares.

|  | Coefficients of Normalized SALCs | Squares of SALC CoefficientsSum of the <br> Squares $=1$ <br> for <br> Normalization <br> Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $c_{a}$ | $c_{b}$ | $c_{c}$ | $c_{a}^{2}$ | $c_{b}^{2}$ | $c_{c}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| $E^{\prime \prime}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 1 |
| $E^{\prime \prime}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |  |
| Sum of the squares for each $2 p_{z}$ wavefunction must <br> total 1 for an identical contribution of each atomic <br> orbital to the group orbitals | 1 | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |  |

Since the sum of the squares of the coefficients for $2 p_{z(a)}$ equals 1 without any contribution from the second $E^{\prime \prime}$ SALC, this SALC must have $c_{a}=0$. The squares of $c_{b}$ and $c_{c}$ must equal $\frac{1}{2}$ to satisfy the normalization and identical contribution from each orbital requirements. Since $E^{\prime \prime}$ has the same symmetry as the $x z$ and $y z$ orbitals that have nodes defined by the $y z$ and $x z$ planes, respectively, one of the coefficients must be positive and the other negative. The second $E^{\prime}$
SALC is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{z(b)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(c)}\right)\right]$.
5.28 The point group is $D_{4 h}$. The reducible representation that describes the symmetries of the group orbitals is:

| $D_{4 h}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{4}$ | $C_{2}$ | $2 C_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $2 C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $i$ | $2 S_{4}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ | $2 \sigma_{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |

Reduction affords $\Gamma=A_{1 g}+B_{1 g}+E_{u}$. To deduce the SALCs, we need to track the fate of one of the $3 s$ orbitals through each symmetry operation of the character table.

| $3 s(A)$ <br> becomes... | $E$ | $C_{4}$ | $C_{4}^{3}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime}(x)$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime}(y)$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}(1)$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3 s(A)$ | $3 s(B)$ | $3 s(D)$ | $3 s(C)$ | $3 s(C)$ | $3 s(A)$ | $3 s(D)$ | $3 s(B)$ |
|  | $i$ | $S_{4}$ | $S_{4}{ }^{3}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $\sigma_{v}(x)$ | $\sigma_{v}(y)$ | $\sigma_{d}(1)$ | $\sigma_{d}(2)$ |
|  | $3 s(C)$ | $3 s(B)$ | $3 s(D)$ | $3 s(A)$ | $3 s(C)$ | $3 s(A)$ | $3 s(D)$ | $3 s(B)$ |

Since all of the characters for $A_{1 g}$ are 1 , the SALC for $A_{1 g}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1 g}: 3 s(A)+3 s(B)+3 s(D)+3 s(C)+3 s(C)+3 s(A)+3 s(D)+3 s(B)+3 s(C)+3 s(B)+3 s(D)+3 s(A)+ \\
& 3 s(C)+3 s(A)+3 s(D)+3 s(B)=4(3 s(A))+4(3 s(B))+4(3 s(C))+4(3 s(D))
\end{aligned}
$$

This simplifies to $3 s(A)+3 s(B)+3 s(C)+3 s(D)$, which provides a normalization constant of $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}$ and the SALC $\frac{1}{2}[\Psi(3 s(A))+\Psi(3 s(B))+\Psi(3 s(C))+\Psi(3 s(D))]$.

Multiplication of the orbitals in the above table by the $B_{1 g}$ characters gives:
$B_{1 g}: 3 s(A)-3 s(B)-3 s(D)+3 s(C)+3 s(C)+3 s(A)-3 s(D)-3 s(B)+3 s(C)-3 s(B)-3 s(D)+3 s(A)+$ $3 s(C)+3 s(A)-3 s(D)-3 s(B)=4(3 s(A))-4(3 s(B))+4(3 s(C))-4(3 s(D))$

This simplifies to $3 s(A)-3 s(B)+3 s(C)-3 s(D)$, which provides a normalization constant of $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}[\Psi(3 s(A))-\Psi(3 s(B))+\Psi(3 s(C))-\Psi(3 s(D))]$ as the $B_{1 g}$ normalized SALC.

Multiplication of the orbitals in the above table by the $E_{u}$ characters affords:

$$
E_{u}: 2(3 s(A))-2(3 s(C))-2(3 s(C))+2(3 s(A))=4(3 s(A))-4(3 s(C))
$$

This simplifies to $3 s(A)-3 s(C)$, which provides a normalization constant of $N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\Psi(3 s(A))-\Psi(3 s(C))]$ as one of the normalized $E_{u}$ SALCs.

The equation for the other $E_{u}$ SALC can be deduced by consideration of the normalized SALC coefficients and their squares.

|  | Coeffic | of N | alized | ALCs | Squa | SA | oeffi |  | Sum of the Squares $=1$ for Normalization Requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{A}$ | $c_{B}$ | ${ }^{c}{ }_{C}$ | $c_{D}$ | $c_{A}{ }^{2}$ | $c_{B}{ }^{2}$ | $c_{C}{ }^{2}$ | $c_{D}{ }^{2}$ |  |
| $A_{1 g}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| $B_{1 g}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| $E_{u}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $E_{u}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 |
| Sum of the squares for each $3 s$ wavefunction must total 1 for an identical contribution of each atomic orbital to the group orbitals |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

The sum of $c_{A}{ }^{2}$ and $c_{C}{ }^{2}$ equals 1 without any contribution from the second $E_{u} \operatorname{SALC} ; c_{A}=c_{C}=0$ for this second $E_{u}$ SALC. A magnitude of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ is required for both $c_{B}$ and $c_{D}$ of the second $E_{u}$ SALC on the basis of the normalization requirement and equal contribution of each atomic orbital to the group orbital's requirement. The alternate signs are required since $E_{u}$ has the symmetry of the $x$ and $y$ axes; these $E_{u}$ group orbitals need to match the symmetry of the valence $p$ orbitals of the central atom. The normalized equation for the second $E_{u} \operatorname{SALC}$ is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[\Psi(3 s(B))-\Psi(3 s(D))]$.

Sketches of these group orbitals are below, using the coordinate system specified in this problem. Note the scaling of the orbitals to reflect the larger contribution of the $3 s$ orbitals in the $E_{u}$
SALCs compared to that in $A_{1 g}$ and $B_{1 g}$ SALCs.

5.29 For this question, we will label the $2 p_{z}$ orbitals simply by their letters (A-F) for clarity. The first task is to track the fate of A through all of the $D_{6 h}$ symmetry operations:

| A becomes... | $E$ | $C_{6}$ | $C_{6}^{5}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{2}^{\prime}(1)$ | $C_{2}^{\prime}(2)$ | $C_{2}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(3)$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}(1)$ | $C_{2}^{\prime \prime}(2)$ | $C_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | F | C | E | D | -A | -C | -E | -B | -D | -F |
|  | $i$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{3}{ }^{2}$ | $S_{6}$ | $S_{6}^{5}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $\sigma_{d}(1)$ | $\sigma_{d}(2)$ | $\sigma_{d}(3)$ | $\sigma_{v}(1)$ | $\sigma_{v}(2)$ | $\sigma_{v}(3)$ |
|  | -D | -C | -E | -B | -F | -A | B | D | F | A | C | E |

Multiplication by the characters of each irreducible representation of the $D_{6 h}$ character table (and for each symmetry operation) is a tedious, but effective, method to deduce the SALCs.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1 g}: A+B+F+C+E+D-A-C-E-B-D-F-D-C-E-B-F-A+B+D+F+A+C+E=0 \\
& A_{2 g}: A+B+F+C+E+D+A+C+E+B+D+F-D-C-E-B-F-A-B-D-F-A-C-E=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{1 g}: A-B-F+C+E-D-A-C-E+B+D+F-D+C+E-B-F+A+B+D+F-A-C-E=0 \\
& B_{2 g}: A-B-F+C+E-D+A+C+E-B-D-F-D+C+E-B-F+A-B-D-F+A+C+E \\
& =4 A-4 B+4 C-4 D+4 E-4 F \\
& E_{1 g}: 2 A+B+F-C-E-2 D-2 D-C-E+B+F+2 A=4 A+2 B-2 C-4 D-2 E+2 F \\
& E_{2 g}: 2 A-B-F-C-E+2 D-2 D+C+E+B+F-2 A=0 \\
& A_{1 u}: A+B+F+C+E+D-A-C-E-B-D-F+D+C+E+B+F+A-B-D-F-A-C-E=0 \\
& A_{2 u}: A+B+F+C+E+D+A+C+E+B+D+F+D+C+E+B+F+A+B+D+F+A+C+E \\
& =4 A+4 B+4 C+4 D+4 E+4 F \\
& B_{1 u}: A-B-F+C+E-D-A-C-E+B+D+F+D-C-E+B+F-A-B-D-F+A+C+E=0 \\
& B_{2 u}: A-B-F+C+E-D+A+C+E-B-D-F+D-C-E+B+F-A+B+D+F-A-C-E=0 \\
& E_{1 u}: 2 A+B+F-C-E-2 D+2 D+C+E-B-F-2 A=0 \\
& E_{2 u}: 2 A-B-F-C-E+2 D+2 D-C-E-B-F+2 A=4 A-2 B-2 C+4 D-2 E-2 F
\end{aligned}
$$

The six group orbitals have the symmetries $B_{2 g}, A_{2 u}, E_{1 g}$, and $E_{2 u}$, expressed in simplified form below, with normalization constants shown at right.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B_{2 g}: A-B+C-D+E-F & B_{2 g}: N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\
A_{2 u}: A+B+C+D+E+F & A_{2 u}: N=\left(\sqrt{(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\
E_{1 g}: 2 A+B-C-2 D-E+F & E_{1 g}: N=\left(\sqrt{(2)^{2}+(1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}} \\
E_{2 u}: 2 A-B-C+2 D-E-F & E_{2 u}: N=\left(\sqrt{(2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(2)^{2}+(-1)^{2}+(-1)^{2}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}
\end{array}
$$

The first four SALC equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2 g}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{z(A)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(B)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(C)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(D)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(E)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(F)}\right)\right] \\
& A_{2 u}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{z(A)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(B)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(C)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(D)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(E)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(F)}\right)\right] \\
& E_{1 g}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}\left[2 \Psi\left(2 p_{z(A)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(B)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(C)}\right)-2 \Psi\left(2 p_{z(D)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(E)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(F)}\right)\right] \\
& E_{2 u}: \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}\left[2 \Psi\left(2 p_{z(A)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(B)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(C)}\right)+2 \Psi\left(2 p_{z(D)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(E)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(F)}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The remaining two SALCs ( $E_{1 g}$ and $E_{2 u}$ ) can be deduced by examination of the coefficients of the normalized equations.

|  | Coefficients of Normalized SALCs |  |  |  |  |  | Squares of SALC Coefficients |  |  |  |  |  | Sum of the Squares $=1$ for Normalization Requirement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $c_{A}$ | $c_{B}$ | ${ }^{c}{ }_{C}$ | $c_{D}$ | $c_{E}$ | $c_{F}$ | $c_{A}{ }^{2}$ | $c_{B}^{2}$ | $c_{C}^{2}$ | $c_{D}^{2}$ | $c_{E}^{2}$ | $c_{F}^{2}$ |  |
| $B_{2 g}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |
| $A_{2 u}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 1 |
| $E_{1 g}$ | $\frac{2}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $-\frac{2}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | 1 |
| $E_{1 g}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| $E_{2 u}$ | $\frac{2}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $\frac{2}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | 1 |
| $E_{2 u}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 |
| Sum of the squares for each $2 p_{z}$ wavefunction must total 1 for an identical contribution of each atomic orbital to the group orbitals |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

The sum of the squares of the SALC coefficients for A and D equal 0 without any contribution from the second $E_{1 g}$ and $E_{2 u}$ SALCs; therefore, $c_{A}$ and $c_{D}$ are zero for these two SALCs. The sum of the squares of the coefficients for B, C, E, and F equal $\frac{1}{2}$ without contributions from the second $E_{1 g}$ and $E_{2 u}$ SALCs. This suggests that $c_{B}{ }^{2}, c_{C}{ }^{2}, c_{D}{ }^{2}$, and $c_{E}{ }^{2}$ equal $\frac{1}{4}$ for these two SALCs, and that $c_{C}, c_{D}, c_{E}$, and $c_{F}$ equal $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. The choice of signs in the table above are those required for the SALCs to satisfy the symmetry requirements of the functions associated with the $E_{1 g}$ and $E_{2 u}$ representations, and to obtain the number of nodes expected (see sketches below).

The last two normalized SALCs are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1 g}: \frac{1}{2}\left[\Psi\left(2 p_{z(B)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(C)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(E)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(F)}\right)\right] \\
& E_{2 u}: \frac{1}{2}\left[-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(B)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(C)}\right)-\Psi\left(2 p_{z(E)}\right)+\Psi\left(2 p_{z(F)}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The six group orbitals are sketched below, ranked by their relative energy. The number of nodes increases from zero to three with orbital energy. These orbitals, and a discussion of their energies, are in Section 13.4.4.

a. $\quad \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$has one fewer electron than $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, so the $\pi^{*}$ levels have three, rather than four, electrons. As a result, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$has a bond order of 1.5 , and the bond is shorter and stronger than that of of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 189 pm , compared with 199 pm for $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).
b. $\quad \mathrm{Cl}_{4}{ }^{+}$has such an elongated rectangular shape ( 194 pm by 294 pm ) that it must be essentially a $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and a $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$side by side, with only a weak attraction between them through the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals. The $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is 199 pm long; apparently, the weak side-to-side bond draws off some of the antibonding electron density, strengthening and shortening the other two shorter $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds.
5.31 a.

b. The $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ orbital near the middle of the figure is the $\pi$-bonding orbital.
c. The LUMO, $2 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, is the best orbital for accepting a lone pair.
d. The $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ orbital is formed by adding all the $p_{z}$ orbitals together. The $2 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ orbital is formed by adding the $\mathrm{B} p_{z}$ orbital and subtracting the three $\mathrm{F} p_{z}$ orbitals.
5.32 $\quad \mathrm{SF}_{4}$ has $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. Treating the four F atoms as simple spherical orbitals, the reducible representation $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ can be found and reduced to

$\Gamma_{\sigma}=2 A_{1}+B_{1}+B_{2}$. Overall, the bonding orbitals can be $d s p^{2}$ or $d^{2} s p$, with the $s$ and $p_{z}$ or $d_{z}{ }^{2}$ orbital with $A_{1}$ symmetry, $p_{x}$ or $d_{x y}$ with
$B_{1}$ symmetry, and $p_{y}$ or $d_{y z}$ with $B_{2}$ symmetry. The $p_{z}$ or $d_{z}^{2}$ orbital remaining accounts for the lone pair. (The use of the trigonal bipyramidal hybrids $d s p^{3}$ or $d^{3} s p$ include the lone pair as one of the five locations.)

| $C_{2 v}$ | $E$ | $C_{2}$ | $\sigma(x z)$ | $\sigma(y z)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z, z^{2}$ |
| $A_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 |  |
| $B_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | $x, x z$ |
| $B_{2}$ | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $y, y z$ |

5.33 A square pyramidal molecule has the reducible representation $\Gamma=E+2 A_{1}+B_{1}$.

| $C_{4 v}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{4}$ | $C_{2}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ | $2 \sigma_{d}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |
| $E$ | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | $(x, y)(x z, y z)$ |
| $A_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z, z^{2}, x^{2}+y^{2}$ |
| $B_{1}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ |

There appear to be three possibilities for combining orbitals, depending on the details of their relative energies: $d s p^{3}\left(p_{x}\right.$ and $p_{y}$ for $E, s$ and $p_{z}$ for $A_{1}, d_{x}{ }^{2}-y^{2}$ for $B_{1}$ ), $d^{2} s p^{2}$ (substituting $d_{z}{ }^{2}$ for $p_{z}$ ), and $d^{3} s p$ (substituting $d_{x z}$ and $d_{y z}$ for $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ ). Although $d_{x z}$ and $d_{y z}$ appear to work, they actually have their electron concentration between the B atoms, and therefore do not participate in $\sigma$ bonding, so $d^{3} s p$ or $d^{2} s p^{2}$ fit better.
5.34 Square planar compounds have $D_{4 h}$ symmetry.

| $D_{4 h}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{4}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $C_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $i$ | $2 S_{4}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 \sigma_{v}$ | $2 \sigma_{d}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |
| $E_{u}$ | 2 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $(x, y)$ |
| $A_{1 g}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z^{2}$ |
| $B_{1 g}$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{\sigma}=A_{1 g}+B_{1 g}+E_{u} \\
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\
s, d_{z}^{2} d_{x}^{2}-y^{2} \quad p_{x}, p_{y}
\end{gathered}
$$

$d s p^{2}$ hybrids are the usual ones used for square planar compounds, although $d^{2} p^{2}$ is also possible. Since the $d_{z}{ }^{2}$ orbital does not extend far in the $x y$ plane, it is less likely to participate in $\sigma$ bonding.
5.35 a. $\quad \mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ has $D_{3 h}$ symmetry.

| $D_{3 h}$ | $E$ | $2 C_{3}$ | $3 C_{2}$ | $\sigma_{h}$ | $2 S_{3}$ | $3 \sigma_{v}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Gamma$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |
| $E^{\prime}$ | 2 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -1 | 0 | $(x, y)\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, x y\right)$ |
| $A_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $z^{2}$ |
| $A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | $z$ |

$\Gamma=E^{\prime}+2 A_{1}{ }^{\prime}+A_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, so the hybrids are $d s p^{3}$ or $d^{3} s p$.
b. This could also be analyzed separately for the axial and the equatorial positions. The $p_{z}$ and $d_{z}{ }^{2}$ orbitals can bond to the axial chlorines $\left(A_{1}{ }^{\prime}+A_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and the $s, p_{x}$, and $p_{y}$ orbitals or the $s, d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$, and $d_{x y}$ orbitals can bond to the equatorial chlorines ( $E^{\prime}$ ).
c. The $d_{z}{ }^{2}$ orbital extends farther than the $p$ orbitals, making the axial bonds a bit longer.

| Ignoring | Including |
| :---: | :---: |
| Orbital Lobe Signs | Orbital Lobe Signs |


| $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $D_{3 h}$ | $C_{3 v}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $D_{3 h}$ | $C_{3 v}$ |
| $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $D_{3 h}$ | $C_{3 h}$ |
| $1 e^{\prime \prime}$ | $C_{2 v}$ | $C_{1}$ |

Results should be similar to Figure 5.32. The energies of some of the orbitals in the middle of the diagram are similar, and the order may vary with different calculation methods. In addition, the locations of the nodes in degenerate orbitals ( $e^{\prime \prime}$ and $e^{\prime}$ ) may vary depending on how the software assigns orientations of atomic orbitals. If nodes cut through atomic nuclei, $1 e^{\prime \prime}$ orbitals may have $C_{2}$ symmetry, matching the symmetry of the first $E^{\prime \prime}$ group orbital shown in Figure 5.31. The table of orbital contributions for each of the orbitals should show the same orbitals as in Figure 5.32. There may be some differences in contributions with different calculation methods, but they should be minor. Assignments to $p_{x}, p_{y}$, and $p_{z}$ will also differ, depending on how the software defines orientations of orbitals. Semi-empirical calculation AM1 gives these as the major contributors to the specified orbitals:

|  | $\underline{a}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $4 a_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $1 a_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $2 a_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B | $2 s$ | $2 s$ | $2 p_{z}$ |  | $2 p_{z}$ |
| F | $2 s$ | $2 s, 2 p_{y}$ | $2 p_{z}$ | $2 p_{x}$ | $2 p_{z}$ |

5.37 a. The shapes of the orbitals, generated using one of the simplest computational methods, Extended Hückel Theory, are shown below, with the most electronegative element shown at right in the heteronuclear cases.

b. In the $1 \pi$ orbitals (bonding), the lobes are increasingly concentrated on the more electronegative atom as the difference in electronegativity between the two atoms increases. This effect is seen most significantly in CO, where the difference in electronegativity is the greatest.

In the $1 \pi^{*}$ orbitals, the antibonding partners of the $1 \pi$ orbitals, the effect is reversed, with the largest lobes now concentrated on the less electronegative atoms. The greatest effect is again shown in CO, with the lobes on carbon much
larger than those on oxygen.
The $3 \sigma$ orbitals also show the influence of electronegativity, this time with the lobe extending to the left from the less electronegative atom being the largest, with CO once more showing the greatest effect. This can be viewed in part as a consequence of the $3 \sigma$ orbital being a better match for the energy of the less electronegative atom's $2 s$ orbital which, together with the $2 p_{z}$ orbital of the same atom, interacts with the $2 p_{z}$ orbital of the more electronegative atom (the atom shown on the right).
c. The results vary greatly depending on the software used. The results using one approach, AM1, are shown below (numerical values are energies in electron volts).

|  | $\sigma^{*}$ | $\pi^{*}$ | $\sigma$ | $\pi$ | $\sigma^{*}$ | $\sigma$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LUMO | HOMO |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ | 14.7 | 0.13 | -3.13 | -5.10 | -9.37 | -28.0 |
| CO | 5.28 | 0.94 | -13.31 | -16.30 | -22.00 | -41.2 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | 6.03 | 1.00 | -14.32 | -16.19 | -21.43 | -41.39 |
| $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$ | -4.42 | -9.62 | -26.13 | -28.80 | -35.80 | -56.89 |

In this table, the energies decrease as the atomic numbers increase (with CO and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ giving mixed results). There is considerable mixing of the $\sigma$ orbitals, a phenomenon that may raise the energy of the $\sigma$ (HOMO) orbital above the energy of the $\pi$ orbitalsas is the case in each of these examples.
5.38 Among the trends that should be observed is the effect on the shapes of the $\pi$ and $\pi^{*}$ orbitals (see orbitals of CO labeled as $1 \pi$ and $1 \pi^{*}$ in Figure 5.13) as the difference in electronegativity between the atoms increases (this trend is also observed in Problem 37). For BF and BeNe, the lobes of the $\pi$ orbitals should become increasingly concentrated on the more electronegative atoms, and the lobes of the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals should become increasingly concentrated on the less electronegative atoms (a pattern that has begun with CO, if the orbital shapes for CO are compared with those of the isoelectronic $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ).

An additional effect is that the size of the protruding orbital lobe of the less electronegative atom should increase as the difference in electronegativity between the atoms increases; this can be see in the $3 \sigma$ orbital of CO in Figure 5.13. Additional trends in the other molecular orbitals can also be noted.
5.39 In one bonding orbital, the $\mathrm{H} s$ orbitals have the same sign and add to the $\mathrm{Be} s$ orbital in the HOMO-1 orbital. Subtracting the Be $s$ orbital results in the antibonding LUMO. The difference between the two $\mathrm{H} s$ orbitals added to the $\mathrm{Be} p_{z}$ orbital results in the HOMO; subtracting the Be $p_{z}$ results in the LUMO +3 orbital. LUMO +1 and LUMO +2 are the $\operatorname{Be} p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals and are nonbonding (and degenerate) in $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$. For an energy level diagram, see the solution to Exercise 5.8 in Appendix A.
5.40 $\mathrm{BeF}_{2}$ is similar to $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$, with the addition of $\pi$ and $\pi^{*}$ orbitals from the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals, extending over all three atoms. The $\mathrm{F} p_{x}$ orbitals with opposite signs do not combine with the Be orbitals, and neither do the $p_{y}$ orbitals; the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals form the HOMO and HOMO+1 pair.

The answer to Problem 5.16 shows more details.
5.41 The azide orbitals are similar to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ orbitals, with some differences in contributions from the atomic orbitals because the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atomic orbitals do not have the identical energies as the nitrogen atoms do. The two highest occupied orbitals of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{BeF}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$all consist of $p_{x}$ or $p_{y}$ orbitals of the outer atoms with opposite signs, essentially nonbonding orbitals. The third orbital down has more $s$ orbital contribution from the outer atoms than either of the other two; in those cases, the lower orbital energies of the atoms reduce that contribution. See also the solution to Exercise 5.7 in Appendix A.
5.42 One aspect of ozone's molecular orbitals that should be noted is its $\pi$ system. For reference, it is useful to compare the bonding $\pi$ orbital that extends over all three atoms (the atomic orbitals that are involved are shown as molecular orbital 6 in the solution to Problem 5.19); this orbital is the lowest in energy of the 3 -orbital bonding/nonbonding/antibonding set (orbitals 6, 9, and 10 in Problem 5.19) involving the $2 p$ orbitals that are not involved in $\sigma$ bonding. Another bonding/nonbonding/antibonding set can be seen in the molecular orbitals derived from $2 s$ orbitals (orbitals 1, 2, and 3 in Problem 5.19).
a. Linear



Cyclic



In the linear arrangement, the molecular orbitals shown, from bottom to top, are bonding, nonbonding, and antibonding, with only the bonding orbital occupied. In the cyclic geometry, the lowest energy orbital is bonding, and the other two orbitals are degenerate, each with a node slicing through the center; again, only the lowest energy orbital is occupied.
b. Cyclic $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$is slightly more stable than linear $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}$, based on the energy of the lowest orbital in an AM1 calculation ( -28.4 eV versus -26.7 eV ).
5.44 a. The full group theory treatment ( $D_{2 h}$ symmetry), shown in Section 8.5.1, uses the two bridging hydrogens as one set for group orbitals and the four terminal hydrogens as another set; these sets are shown in Figure 8.11. The representations for these sets can be
reduced as follows:
The bridging hydrogens have $\Gamma=A_{g}+B_{3 u}$.
The boron $s$ orbitals have $\Gamma=A_{g}+B_{1 u}$.
The $p_{x}$ orbitals (in the plane of the bridging hydrogens) have $\Gamma=B_{2 \mathrm{~g}}+B_{3 u}$.
The $p_{z}$ orbitals (perpendicular to the plane of the bridging hydrogens) have $\Gamma=A_{g}+B_{1 u}$.

The boron $A_{g}$ and $B_{3 u}$ orbitals combine with the bridging hydrogen orbitals, resulting in two bonding and two antibonding orbitals. Electron pairs in each of the bonding orbitals result in two bonds holding the molecule together through hydrogen bridges.
b. Examples of diborane molecular orbitals are in Figure 8.14.

