1	Phase State and Physical Properties of Ambient and Laboratory
2	<b>Generated Secondary Organic Aerosol</b>
3	
4	Rachel E. O'Brien, <sup>1, 2*</sup> Alexander Neu, <sup>1</sup> Scott A. Epstein, <sup>3</sup> Amanda C. MacMillan, <sup>3</sup>
5	Bingbing Wang, <sup>4</sup> Steve T. Kelly, <sup>1</sup> Sergey A. Nizkorodov, <sup>3</sup> Alexander Laskin, <sup>4</sup> Ryan C.
6	Moffet, <sup>2</sup> Mary K. Gilles, <sup>1</sup>
7	[1] Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, 94720-8198, USA
8	[2] Department of Chemistry, University of the Pacific, Stockton, CA 95211, USA
9	[3] Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-2025, USA
10	[4] William R. Wiley Environmental and Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest
11	National Laboratory, Richland, WA, 99352, USA
12	
13	*Address correspondence to the following author
14	Email: resellon@lbl.gov
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

### 24 Supplemental Information

25

### 26 Laboratory generated samples

27 Experimental conditions for chamber experiments are given in Table S3 (the tables and 28 figures are numbered in the order of appearance in the main manuscript). For the flow tube 29 experiments, the flow tube was operated at low RH (< 2%), ambient temperature (~25 °C), with 30 the lights turned off. The oxidant was ozone (30-50 ppm) produced by flowing oxygen gas 31 through a commercial ozone generator. The residence time was ~5 min. In both cases (chamber 32 and flow tube) samples were collected on stages 7 and 8 of a 10 stage rotating cascade impactor (MOUDI 110-R, MSP) using Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> windows taped to aluminum substrates. Collection times 33 were ~30-45 min for chamber experiments and ~1 min for flow tube experiments. 34

35

36

#### 37 Data Collection/Analysis

Because of the weak signal to noise ratio, particles with average  $OD_{320}$  values < 0.03 were excluded from analysis. For I<sub>o</sub> values of ~ 7,000 counts/ms, this cutoff corresponds to about 200 photons/ms. Since stray light in the STXM leads to approximately 100-200 photons/ms noise, the particles with the lowest average OD have the largest relative amount of noise.

43 Since some particles and particle components were non-spherical, the sizes reported are
44 the area equivalent diameters (AED), defined as a diameter of a hemisphere required to cover the
45 same area as the projection of the particles on the surface:

46AED = 
$$2\sqrt{\left(\frac{length_x \times length_y}{\pi}\right)}$$
Equation S147where  $length_x$  and  $length_y$  are the effective sizes of the particle in the x and y dimensions.48**Absorption Coefficients**50Organic material mass absorption coefficients  $\mu$  (cm²/g) were obtained from the sum of51the absorption cross sections of the constituent atoms by:52 $\mu = \frac{N_A}{MW} \sum_i x_i \sigma_{ai}$ 53 $\mu = \frac{N_A}{MW} \sum_i x_i \sigma_{ai}$ 54Equation S255where MW is the molecular weight of the compound containing  $x_i$  atoms of type  $i$ ,  $\sigma_a$  is the total56atomic absorption cross section (cm²/atom) for this type of atom, and  $N_A$  is the Avogadro's57number. This approximation neglects interactions between the atoms in the material and is58applicable at photon energies sufficiently far from absorption edges [Henke et al., 1993;59Thomson et al., 2009]. Using the list of chemical formulas from negative mode high resolution60mass spectrometry analysis of ambient particles (O'Brien et al., manuscript in preparation,612014), the mass absorption coefficient was calculated and plotted as a function of the O/C value62(Figure S3). An estimate for the average O/C value of 0.44 [Setyan et al., 2012] leads to  $\mu_{320} \equiv$ 6322,500 cm²/g and  $\mu_{278} \equiv 1102$  cm²/g.

## **Inorganic Contributions**

66 The particles used in this analysis were identified as organic using algorithm detailed in
67 [*Moffet et al.*, 2010b]. However, aerosol particles that are primarily organic can also have

inorganic components. To estimate the fraction of other elements in these particles we examined
the OD at the carbon pre-edge (278 eV) and the post edge (320 eV) of a particle with inorganic
and organic components via equations S3 and S4:

71

72 
$$OD_{278} = \mu_{org,278}\rho_{org}d_{org} + \mu_{in,278}\rho_{in}d_{in}$$

$$OD_{320} = \mu_{org,320}\rho_{org}d_{org} + \mu_{in,320}\rho_{in}d_{in}$$
 Equation S4

Equation S3

74

73

Where  $\mu_{org.}\rho_{org}$  is the linear absorption coefficient of the organic species,  $\mu_{in.}\rho_{in}$  is the linear absorption coefficient for the inorganic species, and  $d_{in}$  and  $d_{org}$  are the average sample thicknesses of the inorganic and organic, respectively. The thickness ratio [*Moffet et al.*, 2010b] can then be calculated by combining equations S3 and S4:

79

80 
$$\frac{d_{org}}{d_{in}} = \frac{OD_{320}\mu_{in,278}\rho_{in} - OD_{278}\mu_{in,320}\rho_{in}}{OD_{278}\mu_{org,320}\rho_{org} - OD_{320}\mu_{org,278}\rho_{org}}$$
Equation S5

81

To calculate the linear absorption coefficients for the organic terms, the  $\mu_{320}$  and  $\mu_{278}$  estimates 82 from above and a density of 1.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup> [Setyan et al., 2012] were used. For the inorganic terms, 83 84 three cases were considered. In the first case, the linear absorption coefficients were calculated for elements with atomic numbers between 6 (carbon) and 26 (Iron) that have been observed in 85 atmospheric aerosols [Moffet et al., 2010a]. Elements with higher linear absorption coefficients 86 will absorb more photons and at 278 eV Al, Si, P, S, Cr, Mg, and Fe all have higher linear 87 absorption coefficients in the range of 7-13  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S4a). At 320 eV the elements Si, P, S, 88 Cl, Cr, Mn, and Fe all have coefficients between 5.6-10 µm<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S4b). Given these ranges, 89 values of 10  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> at 278 eV and 8  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> at 320 eV were used. In the second case, the linear 90

absorption coefficients for NaCl were used (8.1  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> at 278 eV and 6.3 $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> at 320 eV). In the 91 last case, values for ammonium sulfate were used (3.2  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> at 278 eV and 2.4  $\mu$ m<sup>-1</sup> at 320 eV). 92 Using these estimates and the measured  $OD_{278}$  and  $OD_{320}$  values, the average thickness ratio for 93 94 each data set was calculated and is shown in Table S4. All of the data sets range from ~10-30% inorganic with higher percentages when all of the inorganic is assumed to be ammonium sulfate 95 as the inorganic. Thus, for these campaigns, approximately 11-30% of the thickness for the 96 organic particles is likely due to the absorption cross section contribution from non-organic 97 molecules or atoms. 98

99

### 100 **References**

- Henke, B. L., et al. (1993), X-Ray Interactions Photoabsorption, Scattering, Transmission And
  Reflection at E=50-30,000 EV, Z=1-92 (VOL 54, PG 181, 1993). *Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables 55*(2): 349-349.
- 104 Moffet, R. C., et al. (2010a), Microscopic characterization of carbonaceous aerosol particle aging

in the outflow from Mexico City, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 10*(3): 961-976.

106 Moffet, R. C., et al. (2010b), Automated Chemical Analysis of Internally Mixed Aerosol

Particles Using X-ray Spectromicroscopy at the Carbon K-Edge, *Anal Chem* 82(19): 79067914.

109 Setyan, A., et al. (2012), Characterization of submicron particles influenced by mixed biogenic

- and anthropogenic emissions using high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometry: results from
- 111 CARES, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 12(17): 8131-8156.
- 112 Thomson, A., et al. (2009). Center for X-Ray Optics and Advanced Light Source X-Ray Data
- 113 *Booklet*. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.



Figure S1. Optical thickness of carbon (total carbon absorption =  $OD_{320}$ - $OD_{278}$ ) as a function of size of impacted organic particles for each ambient data set. Solid lines are best fit lines for each data set and dashed lines are ±95% confidence intervals. The thick fitted lines are reproduced in Figure 2 of the main text, and the slopes, intercepts, and confidence intervals are listed in Table 1. 



Figure S2. Optical thickness of carbon (total carbon absorption = OD<sub>320</sub>-OD<sub>278</sub>) as a function of
size of impacted organic particles for each laboratory data set. Solid lines are best fit lines for
each data set; the same lines are reproduced in Figure 2 of the main text. The slopes are listed in
Table 1.



**Figure S3.** Calculated mass absorption coefficients as a function of O/C at a) 320 eV and b) 278







<sup>137</sup> atmospheric aerosols [*Henke et al.*, 1993].

**Table S1.** Ambient sample collection information and fitting results for  $OD_{320}$  and  $OD_{278}$  vs.

145 area equivalent diameter<sup>a</sup>

				320 eV		278 eV	
	Campaign	Year	Number of particles	Slope	Intercept	Slope	Intercept
	CARES	2010	2428	0.18±0.007	0.041±0.004	0.045±0.002	0.016±0.001
	MILAGRO	2006	798	0.19±0.015	0.068±0.006	0.057±0.005	0.019±0.002
	NAOPEX	2001	123	0.22±0.022	0.036±0.018	0.069±0.007	0.008±0.006
	VOCALS	2008	125	0.30±0.056	0.065±0.027	0.098±0.02	0.023±0.01
	YACS	2002	56	0.17±0.028	0.056±0.015	0.027±0.01	0.025±0.006
146	<sup>a</sup> The ±95% c	confiden	ce intervals for	the slope and	intercept are given	ven.	
147							
148							
149							
150							
151							
152							
153							
154							
155							
156							
157							
158							
159							
160							

			320 eV		278 eV	
Sample	MOUDI stage	Number of particles	Slope	Intercept	Slope	Intercept
Isoprene- <i>l</i> -NO <sub>x</sub>	7	137	0.048±0.004	0.054±0.002	0.0083±0.002	0.014±0.001
Isoprene- <i>h</i> -NO <sub>x</sub>	7	16	0.018±0.010	0.044±0.004	0.015±0.007	0.011±0.003
$\alpha$ -pinene-flow tube	7	51	0.044±0.009	0.079±0.010	0.0042±0.001	0.015±0.001
Limonene-flow tube	7	87	0.045±0.009	0.051±0.005	0.0027±0.002	0.014±0.001
Limonene-chamber	8	122	0.052±0.007	0.057±0.006	0.0049±0.002	0.015±0.001
162 <sup>a</sup> The $\pm 95\%$ confid	dence inter	vals for the s	lope and interc	cept are given.	$l-NO_x$ and $h-N$	NO <sub>x</sub> refer to
163 low-NO <sub>x</sub> and high	h-NO <sub>x</sub> oxid	dation by OH	; ozone is used	l as the oxidar	t for the remain	ning
164 samples.						
165						
166						
167						
168						
169						
170						
171						
172						
173						
174						
175						
176						
177						

**Table S2.** Laboratory sample fitting results for  $OD_{320}$  and  $OD_{287}$  vs. area equivalent diameter<sup>a</sup>

	Sample	[HC]	Oxidant	[NO]	[NO <sub>y</sub> ]	[O <sub>3</sub> ]	Т	Concentratio	Average
		ppm	precursor	ppb	ppb	ppb	(°C)	n (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Size (nm)
	Isoprene- <i>l</i> -NO <sub>x</sub>	1.0	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	<1	5	3	25	40.8	326
	Isoprene- <i>h</i> -NO <sub>x</sub>	1.1	$H_2O_2$	430	530	4	24	192	174
	Limonene <sup>b</sup>	0.30	Ozone	<1	6	590	24	1251	177
179	<sup>a</sup> The [HC] are the	e initial	mixing ratio	s after i	njection	of the l	iquid p	recursor, the ren	naining
180	values were measure	sured at	the start of t	he samp	ole collec	ction.			
181	<sup>b</sup> The Limonene c	hamber	experiment	was dor	ne in the	dark.			
182									
183									
184									
185									
186									
187									
188									
189									
190									
191									
192									
193									
194									
195									
196									
197									

**Table S3.** Summary of experimental conditions for the chamber experiments<sup>a</sup>

-		d <sub>org</sub> /d <sub>in</sub> (% of thickness that is inorganic)							
	Campaign	In = S, metals, etc.	In=NaCl	In=(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					
_	CARES	12 (10%)	9.4 (12%)	3.2 (28%)					
	MILAGRO	9.7 (9%)	7.9 (11%)	2.7 (26%)					
	NAOPEX	12 (9%)	9.6 (10%)	3.2 (25%)					
	VOCALS	9.5 (11%)	7.7 (13%)	2.6 (30%)					
	YACS	12 (9%)	10 (10%)	3.4 (25%)					
199									
200									
201									
202									
203									
204									
205									
206									
207									
208									
209									
210									
211									
212									
213									

# **Table S4.** Average organic to inorganic thickness ratios for each campaign