86

Lecture #4 of 17

86

(UPDATED) 87

Looking forward... our review of Chapter "0"

- <u>Cool applications</u>
- <u>Redox half-reactions</u>
- Balancing electrochemical equations
- History of electrochemistry and Batteries
- IUPAC terminology and $E_{cell} = E_{red} E_{ox}$
- Thermodynamics and the Nernst equation (halfway complete)
- Common reference electrodes
- Standard and Absolute potentials
- Latimer and Pourbaix diagrams

- Calculating E_{cell} under non-standard-state conditions
- Conventions

87

Quick Check on Your Understanding

88

	electro-chemica	l series
You try!	Potassium	-2.92
(a) What is the standard $E_{\rm cell}$ for a	Calcium Sodium Magnesium	-2.87 -2.71 -2.37
galvanic cell based on zinc and silver?	Aluminium Zinc	-1.66 -0.76
$E_{\text{cell}} = +0.80 \text{ V} - (-0.76 \text{ V}) = 1.56 \text{ V}$	Iron Tin	-0.44 -0.14
	Lead	-0.13
(b) If one wanted to electrolytically	Hydrogen Copper	+0.34
charge the cell from part a (before any reactions took place) what	Silver	+0.80
potential would one have to provide?	Gold	+1.68

 $E_{\rm bias} < -1.56$ V... such that $E_{\rm cell} + E_{\rm bias} < 0$ (this is the observed value)

RECALL: International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)

(Accepted) Nomenclature and Terminology that you've learned, but may have forgotten
 Coulomb (in units of C = A + 3) is the unit of charge (96.485 C are in a mole of singly integrate, aver time formation of the council of the council

89

For "clarity," a brief (more rigorous) "review" of thermodynamics...

90

91

Electrochemical <u>potential</u> of species *i* in phase β is an energy (J/mol),

$$\overline{\mu}_{i}^{\beta} = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_{i}^{\beta}}\right)_{T,p,n_{j\neq i}} = \mu_{i}^{\beta} + z_{i}F\phi^{\beta}, \text{ where } \qquad \boxed{\text{RECALL}}:$$

G (Gibbs free energy (J)) n_i (amount of species *i* (mol)) $\mu_i = \mu_i^0 + RT \ln a_i$ (chemical potential (J/mol)) z_i (valency of species *i*) $F \approx 10^5$ (Faraday constant (C/mol) ϕ^{β} (Galvani/inner electric potential (V)) a_i (activity of species *i*)

For an uncharged species $\bar{\mu}_i^{\beta} = \mu_i^{\beta}$.

... more on this later...

Parsons, Pure & Appl. Chem., 1973, 37, 501 IUPAC Gold (http://goldbook.iupac.org)

90

Half reactions, at non-unity activity, obey the Nernst equation ...

Take $\Delta G = \Delta G^0 + RT \ln Q$ and use the relation $\Delta G = -nFE$,

But first... what is Q, again? ... the reaction quotient!

 $aA + bB \rightleftharpoons cC + dD$

$$Q_c = \frac{[C]^c [D]^d}{[A]^a [B]^b}$$

Half reactions, at non-unity activity, obey the Nernst equation ...

92

Take $\Delta G = \Delta G^0 + RT \ln Q$ and use the relation $\Delta G = -nFE$,

But first... what is Q, again? ... the reaction quotient!

$$Q = \frac{\prod_p a_p v_p}{\prod_r a_r v_r} = \frac{\prod_p \left(\gamma_p \frac{c_p}{c_p 0}\right)^{v_p}}{\prod_r \left(\gamma_r \frac{c_r}{c_r 0}\right)^{v_r}}$$

 $Q = \frac{\prod_{p} c_{p}^{v_{p}}}{\prod_{r} c_{r}^{v_{r}}}$, for dilute solutions... which we never have!

 a_p is the activity of product p a_r is the activity of reactant r v_i is the stoichiometric number of i γ_i is the activity coefficient of i c_i is the concentration of i c_i^0 is the standard state concentration of i

92

Half reactions, at non-unity activity, obey the Nernst equation ...

93

94

Take $\Delta G = \Delta G^0 + RT \ln Q$ and use the relation $\Delta G = -nFE$,



Memorize \simeq 60 mV per order in log₁₀, but do not forget *n* and that this is at 25 °C!

93

Looking forward... our review of Chapter "0"

- Cool applications
- <u>Redox half-reactions</u>
- Balancing electrochemical equations
- History of electrochemistry and Batteries
- IUPAC terminology and E_{cell} = E_{red} E_{ox}
- Thermodynamics and the Nernst equation
- Common reference electrodes
- Standard and Absolute potentials
- Latimer and Pourbaix diagrams
- Calculating E_{cell} under non-standard-state conditions
- Conventions

Half reactions must be referenced to something...

$$E_{\rm cell} = E_{\rm red} - E_{\rm ox}$$

Since the method of half-reactions ultimately results in us taking their difference, we can add an arbitrary constant to all half reactions... By one (somewhat arbitrary) convention, it is often assumed that \mathcal{E}^{o} for the standard hydrogen electrode **(SHE)** is equal to zero.

Half-Reaction for Hydrogen gas (H₂):

$$H^+ + e^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}H_2(g)$$

Potential
 $E_{H_2} = E_{H_2}^{0 \#} + \frac{RT}{F} ln \frac{\sqrt{p_{H_2}}}{[H^+]}$
Rigorously, these two
terms in *Q* need to be
standard-state
condition, and include
activity coefficients
 $E_{H_2}^0 = 0$ vs. SHE

Thus, the potentials for half-cell reactions <u>are</u> actually full-cell potential (difference(s)) versus SHE, or other!

95

Half reactions must be referenced to something ...

96

versus?

$$E_{\rm cell} = E_{\rm red} - E_{\rm ox}$$

Since the method of half-reactions ultimately results in us taking their difference, we can add an arbitrary constant to all half reactions... By one (somewhat arbitrary) convention, it is often assumed that \mathcal{E}^{o} for the standard hydrogen electrode **(SHE)** is equal to zero.

<u>Normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)</u> is an empirical SHE ([H⁺] = 1; not standard state)
 <u>Standard hydrogen electrode (SHE)</u> is a hypothetical, perfect NHE (a = 1; not empirical)
 <u>Reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE)</u> is the SHE but the same regardless of pH
 And generally, <u>formal potentials</u> (E^o) take into consideration non-idealities and changes
in lonic strengths so that the reaction quotient only has concentrations, and not activities

$$E_{\rm H_2} = E_{\rm H_2}^0 + \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{\sqrt{p_{\rm H_2}}}{[{\rm H}^+]} \qquad E_{\rm H_2}^0 = 0$$

Outmoded Terminology: The Normal Hydrogen Electrode

R. W. Ramette Carleton College, Northfield, MN 55057

Ramette, J. Chem. Educ., 1987, 64, 885

96

 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{EXAMPLE}: \mbox{ Write a balanced chemical equation and} \\ \mbox{ calculate the standard cell potential for the galvanic cell:} \\ \mbox{ Zn}(s) \mid \mbox{ Zn}^{2+} (1 \ \mbox{ M}) \mid \mbox{ Mn} \mbox{ Mn} \mbox{ A}_{4-}^{-} (1 \ \mbox{ M}), \mbox{ Mn}^{2+} (1 \ \mbox{ M}), \mbox{ H}^{+} (1 \ \mbox{ M}) \mid \mbox{ Pt}(s) \end{array} \right) \label{eq:standard}$

Look up half-reactions and standard reduction potentials in an Electrochemical Series table (CRC, B&F Appendix C, WWW):

Anode:
$$Zn^{2+} + 2e^- \rightarrow Zn$$
 $E^0_{anode} = -0.76 V$

Note: Although strictly correct, do not use " $-E^{0}$ " as the " E^{0} for oxidation"

Cathode: $MnO_4^- + 8H^+ + 5e^- \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O \xrightarrow{P_{cathode}} = +1.51 V$ Note: Be careful to choose the correct half-reaction with MnO_4^-

5

CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 92nd Edition

$\begin{split} Mn^{2*} + 2e & \approx Mn \\ Mn^{3*} + e & \approx Mn^{2*} \\ MnO_{2} + 4H + 2e & \approx Mn^{2*} + 2H_{2}O \\ MnO_{2} + e & = MnO_{2}^{-*} \\ MnO_{4} + 8H + 3e & \approx MnO_{4} + 2H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4} + 8H + 8e & \approx MnO_{4} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4} + 2H_{2}O + 3e & = MnO_{4} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4}^{-*} + 2H_{2}O + 3e & = MnO_{4} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4}^{-*} + 2H_{2}O + 2e & = MnO_{4} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4}^{-*} + 2H_{2}O + 2e & = MnO_{4} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4}^{-*} + 2H_{4}O + 2e & = MnO_{4} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4}^{-+} + 1e + e & = Mn^{2*} + 4H_{2}O \\ MnO_{4}^{++} + 1e & = MnO_{4} + 2OH^{2} \\ MnO_{4}^{++} + 1e & = MnO_{4}^{++} + 3H_{2}O \\ \end{pmatrix}$	-1.185 1.5415 1.224 0.558 1.679 1.507 0.595 0.60 -1.56 0.15 1.485	* All values versus SH MnO ₄ ⁻ + 8H ⁺ + 5e ⁻ \rightarrow Mn ²⁺ + 4H	E I ₂ O
Zn → Zn ²⁺ + 2e ⁻	$\frac{Zn^{2*} + 2e = Zn}{Zn^{2*} + 2e = Zn(H)}$ $\frac{Zn^{2*} + 2e = Zn(H)}{ZnO_{2}^{2*} + 2H_{2}O + 2m^{2}O(H)}$ $\frac{ZnO_{4}^{2*} - 2e = Zn(OH)_{4}^{2*} + 2e = Zn(OH)_{2} + 2e = Zn(OH)_{2} + 2e = Zn(OH)_{2} + 2e = 2n(OH)_{2} + 2e = 2$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.7, \\ \text{Ig}) & -0.7, \\ 2 \ e \Rightarrow Zn + 4 \ \text{OH}^- & -1.2 \\ 2 \ e = Zn (Hg) + SO_4^{2-} + 7 & -0.7 \\ ZnSO_1 \\ e \Rightarrow Zn + 4 \ \text{OH}^- & -1.1 \\ Zn + 2 \ \text{OH}^- & -1.1 \\ Zn + 2 \ \text{OH}^- & -1.2 \\ e = Zn + 2 \ \text{OH}^- & -1.2 \\ 2^{29} 20 \ \text{Termodynamikk} \ \text{20med}^{49} 20 \ \text{label{eq:20}} \end{array}$	618 628 15 993 97 99 49 60 /Lab/

Oppgave%205%20-%20Standard%20reduksjonspotensial/Rapportfiler/E0.pdf

98

EXAMPLE: Write a balanced chemical equation and calculate the standard cell potential for the galvanic cell: Zn(s) | Zn²⁺ (1 M) || MnO₄⁻ (1 M), Mn²⁺ (1 M), H⁺ (1 M) | Pt(s)

Look up half-reactions and standard reduction potentials in an Electrochemical Series table (CRC, B&F Appendix C, WWW):

Anode: $Zn^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Zn$ $E^0_{\text{anode}} = -0.76 \text{ V}$

Note: Although strictly correct, do not use " $-E^{0}$ " as the " E^{0} for oxidation"

Cathode: $MnO_4^- + 8H^+ + 5e^- \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + 4H_2O$ $E^0_{cathode} = +1.51 V$

Note: Be careful to choose the correct half-reaction with $\rm MnO_4^-$

To get the balanced overall reaction?... multiply the anode reaction by 5 and subtract it from 2 times the cathode reaction:

 $2\mathsf{MnO}_4^-(\mathit{aq}) + 16\mathsf{H}^+(\mathit{aq}) + 5\mathsf{Zn}\,(s) \longrightarrow 5\mathsf{Zn}^{2+}(\mathit{aq}) + 2\mathsf{Mn}^{2+}(\mathit{aq}) + 8\mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O}\,(\mathit{h})$

 $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{cathode}}^0 - E_{\text{anode}}^0 = 1.51 \text{ V} + 0.76 \text{ V} = +2.27 \text{ V}$

Q: What processes occur in electrochemistry? ... one more ...

99

CRC Handbook of Chemist	ry and Physics, 92	nd Edition
$Mg^* + e \Rightarrow Mg$	-2.70	
$Na^* + e \rightleftharpoons Na$	-2.71	
$Yb^{2*} + 2 e \Rightarrow Yb$	-2.76	
$Bk^{2*} + e \Rightarrow Bk^{2*}$	-2.8	
$Ho^{2*} + e \Rightarrow Ho^{2*}$	-2.8	* All values versus SHE
Ra ²⁺ + 2 e ≠ Ra	-2.8	
$Eu^{2*} + 2 e \rightleftharpoons Eu$	-2.812	
$Ca^{2*} + 2 e = Ca$	-2.868	
$Sr(OH)_2 + 2 e \Rightarrow Sr + 2 OH^-$	-2.88	
Sr ²⁺ + 2 e ≠ Sr	-2.899	
$Fr' + e \rightleftharpoons Fr$	-2.9	
$La(OH)_{3} + 3 e \rightleftharpoons La + 3 OH^{-}$	-2.90	
Ba²∗ + 2 e ≠ Ba	-2.912	
$K^* + e \rightleftharpoons K$	-2.931	
$Rb^* + e \Rightarrow Rb$	-2.98	
$Ba(OH)_{2} + 2 e \rightleftharpoons Ba + 2 OH^{-1}$	-2.99	
Er ³⁺ + e ** Er ²⁺	-3.0	
$Ca(OH)$, + 2 e \Rightarrow Ca + 2 OH ⁻	-3.02	
Cs [*] + e ⇔ Cs	-3.026	
Li* + e ≓ Li	-3.040	
$3 N_3 + 2 H^* + 2 e \Rightarrow 2 HN_3$	-3.09	
$Pr^{3+} + e \Rightarrow Pr^{2+}$	-3.1	
$Ca^* + e \Rightarrow Ca$	-3.80	How negative can E ^o be?
$Sr^* + e \rightleftharpoons Sr$	-4.10	-
http://folk.ntnu.no/	andersty/2.%20Klasse/Kl	1042%20Termodynamikk%20med%20lab/Lab/
Opp	gave%205%20-%20Stand	lard%20reduksjonspotensial/Rapportfiler/E0.pdf

Il values versus SHE	

98

99

100

(remember this?)

Q: What processes occur in electrochemistry? ... one more ...

A: <u>Winter, 2017</u>: Those involving the motion/transport of charge – carried by entities other than *unsolvated* electrons and holes – through phase(s), or the transfer of charge across interface(s).

Example: solvated electrons

Prof. Robert Hamers (Univ. of Wisconsin)





Zhu, ..., Hamers, Nature Materials, 2013, 12, 836

101

First description of conductivity using solvated electrons

102

101

During the first part of the twentieth century, E. C. Franklin and C. A. Kraus probably did more to chacidate the chemistry of liquid ammonia solutions than everybody else combined... It is perhaps little known that their work was prompted by the research and insight of H. P. Caly, carried out while he was an undergraduate! Whilst working on cobalt ammine complexes, Cady proposed that ammonia in these (and other "double salts") must function in a manner akin to water in salts with ware of crystallization. He suggested further that liquid ammonia would probably be found to research be water in its physical and chemical properties—thas adding a second to our list of ionizing solvents. Cady's undergraduate work, carried out without supervision, published in 1897, was perhaps the first physical chemistry study of fund ammonia solutions. Zurek, Edwards, & Hoffman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 8198

In the case of the sodium solution, no signs of a polarization current could be detected with a sensitive galvanometer, and it will be noticed that the molecular conductivity rises with the concentration, contrary to that of electrolytes. As has been mentioned above ong as is given of when the solution is submitted to electrolysis. The sodium is not affected by the current, nor is there any deposit on the electrodes. In spite of the fact that the solution is solution, the solution seems to conduct like a metal and not like an electrolyte.



Cady, J. Phys. Chem., 1897, 1, 707

102

Q: What is electrochemistry? ... one more ...

103

A: <u>Winter, 2017</u>: Those involving the motion/transport of charge – carried by entities other than *unsolvated* electrons and holes – through phase(s), or the transfer of charge across interface(s).

Example: solvated electrons



Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated... very carefully ...

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PURE AND APPLIED CHEMISTRY PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY DIVISION COMMISSION ON ELECTROCHEMISTRY*

Prof. Sergio Trasatti (Università de Milano, Italy)

(19)

104

THE ABSOLUTE ELECTRODE POTENTIAL: AN EXPLANATORY NOTE (Recommendations 1986)

On the basis of the values in Table 1 the recommended absolute potential of the standard hydrogen electrode, as results from both eqns.(12) and (15) with the same accuracy, is: (Note k)

 $E^{\circ}(H^{*}/H_{2})^{H_{2}O}(abs) = (4.44\pm0.02) V$ at 298.15 K

Note is 1 t must be streamed that calculations based on equa (12) and (13) are only apparently independent jusc the sumtiliar involved area for the sum of a payer model of that, owing to the role of the decimal factor, $2\pi r_{\rm eff}^2 - \delta_{\rm eff}^2 + \delta_{\rm eff}^2 + \delta_{\rm eff}^2 + \delta_{\rm eff}^2 + \delta_{\rm eff}^2$, is should be noted that, owing to the role of the decimal figures, eq.(13) give 4.458 V, i.e. 4.45 V

Trasatti, Pure & Appl. Chem., 1986, 58, 955

104

Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated... 105 very carefully...

 $\mathbb{E}^{\circ}(\mathbb{H}^{*}/\mathbb{H}_{2})\,(\text{abs}) \ = \ (\Delta_{\texttt{at}}\mathcal{G}^{\circ} \ + \ \Delta_{\texttt{ion}}\mathcal{G}^{\circ} \ + \ \alpha_{\mathbb{H}^{+}}^{\circ\,,\,\mathsf{S}})/\mathbb{F}$ (12) TABLE 1. Values of quantities needed to calculate the absolute potential of the standard hydrogen electrode in water (298.15 K) ref. (17) 1H2 → H $\Delta_{at} \sigma^{o} = 203.30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ $H + H^+ + e$ $\Delta_{ion}G^{\bullet} = 1313.82 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (1,17) H⁺(vacuum) → H⁺(water) $\alpha^{\circ}_{H^{\bullet}}$ = -(1088±2) kJ mol⁻¹ (15) Potential of zero charge of Hg $E_{\sigma=0}$ (SHE) = -(0.192±0.001) V (18) Electron work function of Hg ¢ = (4.50±0.02) eV (19) $E_{1x}^{0} = -(0.0559 \pm 0.0002) V$ E° of cell (16) (15)

Contact potential difference $\Delta_{H_2O}^{Hg}\psi = -(0.248\pm0.001) V$ ^aFrom eqn.(18) H (gas) $\xrightarrow{\Delta G_{hon}^0}$ H⁺(gas) + e (vacuum) Born-Haber cycle: $\mu_{\mathbf{H}^{+}}^{\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O},0}$ $\frac{1}{2}\Delta G_{at}^{0}$ $\frac{1}{2}H_2(gas) \xrightarrow{\Delta G_{cl}^0} H^+(aq)$

Trasatti, Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem., 1974, 52, 313 Trasatti, Pure & Appl. Chem., 1986, 58, 955

105

Absolute potentials can be me very carefully	asured / approxima	ted	106
$E^{\circ}(H^{*}/H_{2})(abs) = (\Delta_{at}G^{\circ} + \Delta_{ion}G^{\circ}$	+ α _{H+} •,S)/F	(12)	
TABLE 1. Values of quantities needed standard hydrogen electrode in wat	to calculate the absolute ter (298.15 K)	potential of the	
<u>1</u> H₂ → H	$\Delta_{at}\sigma^{a} = 203.30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	ref. (17)	
H + H ⁺ + e	$\Delta_{ion}G^{\circ} = 1313.82 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	(1,17)	
H*(vacuum) → H*(water)	$\alpha_{H^*}^{\circ}$ = -(1088±2) kJ mol ⁻¹	(15)	
Potential of zero charge of Hg	$E_{\sigma=0}(SHE) = -(0.192\pm0.001)$	V (18)	
Electron work function of Hg	<pre></pre>	(19)	
E° of cell (16)	$E_{16}^{0} = -(0.0559 \pm 0.0002)$ V	(15)	
Contact potential difference ^a	$\Delta_{H_2O}^{Hg}\psi = -(0.248\pm0.001) V$		
^a From eqn.(18)	AC ⁰		
Born-Haber cycle:	H (gas) \longrightarrow I	H^+ (gas) + e (vacuum)	
(two ways to solve this) 🛓	ΔG ⁰ _{at}	$\mu_{H^+}^{H_2O,0}$ $\mu_e^{H_g}$	
	$\frac{1}{2}H_2(gas) \xrightarrow{\Delta G_{el}^0} H$	$H^+(aq) + e(Hg)$	
but we need an electrode!	Trasatti, Electroanal. Cher Tras	m. Interfac. Electrochem., 1974, 5 atti, Pure & Appl. Chem., 1986, 5	52, 313 58, 955

Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated... very carefully...

 $E^{\circ}(H^*/H_2)(abs) = (\Delta_{at}G^{\circ} + \Delta_{ion}G^{\circ} + \alpha_{H^*}^{\circ,S})/F$ (12)



Farell and McTigue, Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem., 1982, 139, 37 ... but we need an electrode! Trasatti, Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem., 1974, 52, 313 Trasatti, Pure & Appl. Chem., 1986, 58, 955



108

107

Looking forward... our review of Chapter "0"

- <u>Cool applications</u>
- <u>Redox half-reactions</u>
- Balancing electrochemical equations
- History of electrochemistry and Batteries
- <u>IUPAC terminology and $E_{cell} = E_{red} E_{ox}$ </u>
- Thermodynamics and the Nernst equation
- Common reference electrodes
- <u>Standard and Absolute potentials</u>
- Latimer and Pourbaix diagrams
- Calculating E_{cell} under non-standard-state conditions
- Conventions

108

Two diagrams of empirical standard potentials...

109

A <u>Latimer diagram</u> is a summary of the E^0 values for an element; it is useful for visualizing the complete redox series for an element and for determining when disproportionation will occur.



Latimer, The oxidation states of the elements and their potentials in aqueous solution, 1938

Two diagrams of empirical standard potentials...

110

A <u>Latimer diagram</u> is a summary of the E⁰ values for an element; it is useful for visualizing the complete redox series for an element and for determining when disproportionation will occur.



