162

Lecture #6 of 17

Measurements in Electrochemistry

Chapters 1 and 15

Q: What's in this set of lectures?

- A: B&F Chapters 1 & 15 main concepts:
 - Section 1.1: Redox reactions
 - Chapter 15: Electrochemical instrumentation
 - Section 1.2: Charging interfaces
 - Section 1.3: Overview of electrochemical experiments

(UPDATED) 165

Looking forward... Section 1.1 (and some of Chapter 15)

- Reference electrodes (halfway complete)
- 2-electrode versus 3-electrode measurements (halfway complete)
- Potentiostats
- Compliance voltage/current
- J–E and I–E curves
- Kinetic overpotential
- Electrochemical window
- Faradaic reactions

Experiments:

95% of the measurements that you will perform have a problem





... and at "open circuit," no potential bias is applied between them... (disconnect the wire!)

168



... and by the way, we don't know this potential... ... and it is not well-defined because we cannot answer the question: What is the half-reaction that defines it? ... now, if we apply +0.8 V to the WE (reconnect the wire)... the potential of *both* electrodes likely changes, and *not likely symmetrically*...





... you get the picture!





In principle, this problem can be solved by using a ¹⁷² second electrode that is an (ideal) *reference electrode*... (ideally) non-polarizable: **RECALL**



... so get rid of the Pt reference electrode, and substitute in an SCE... 173 ... which has a Pt wire in it...



... so get rid of the Pt reference electrode, and substitute in an SCE... 174 ... which has a Pt wire in it...



What is the half-reaction that defines it?

... so get rid of the Pt reference electrode, and substitute in an SCE... ... which has a Pt wire in it...



175

... so get rid of the Pt reference electrode, and substitute in an SCE... 176 ... which has a Pt wire in it...



... the SCE has a defined potential of +0.241 V vs. SHE...

... so get rid of the Pt reference electrode, and substitute in an SCE... 177 ... which has a Pt wire in it...



... the SCE has a defined potential of +0.241 V vs. SHE... ... and its potential "does not" move (much, usually)...

... how did we calculate that (meaning +0.641 V)?



 $E_{\rm app} = +0.4 \, {\rm V}$



... the SCE has a defined potential of +0.241 V vs. SHE... ... and its potential "does not" move (much, usually)...

... how did we calculate that (meaning +0.641 V)?



 $E_{\rm app} = +0.4 \, {\rm V}$



... you get the picture!...

... but let's learn some more about reference electrodes...



... here is what a commercial SCE looks like:





Some major companies that have excellent additional information on their websites:

AMETEK (PAR, Solartron), BASi, Bio-Logic, CH Instruments, Gamry, Metrohm, Pine

https://www.gamry.com/cells-and-accessories/electrodes/reference-electrodes/

Specifically, we would really like to have a reference electrode 182 that has the following attributes.

- It has a well-defined and invariant potential. That is, no matter how much current we draw from this electrode, its potential must not vary.
- 2. It has zero impedance. That is, it imposes no resistive load on our cell.
- 3. It does not "contaminate" our solution. That is, it is not a source of undesired ions in our electrochemical cell.

... but no such thing exists.

The closest approximation: the saturated calomel electrode (SCE)



... but no such thing exists.

The closest approximation: the saturated calomel electrode (SCE)



... but no such thing exists. (see Figure E.1 on the inside back cover of B&F)

The closest approximation: the saturated calomel electrode (SCE)

the saturated calomel electrode (SCE)

$$Hg_2Cl_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow 2Cl^- + 2Hg^0$$

 $E^0 = +0.241 \text{ V vs. SHE}$

the saturated sodium calomel electrode (SSCE)

$$Hg_2Cl_2 + 2e^- \rightarrow 2Cl^- + 2Hg^0$$

$$E^0 = +0.236 \text{ V vs. SHE}$$

the saturated mercurous sulfate electrode (MSE)

$$Hg_2SO_4 + 2e^- \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2Hg^0$$

 $E^0 = +0.64 \text{ V vs. SHE}$



... great. But what is an SHE (standard hydrogen electrode)?

 $2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$

 $E^{0}_{SHE} = 0.0000 \text{ V vs. SHE}$

The scheme of the standard (or normal) hydrogen electrode:

- 1) platinized platinum electrode (large area)
- 2) hydrogen blow (bubbling)
- 3) solution of aqueous acid with proton activity equal to one (dimensionless)
- 4) means to prevent O₂ interference (sealant)
- 5) reservoir through which the second halfelement of the electrochemical cell is attached. This creates an ionically conductive path to the working electrode of interest (salt bridge).



* one rendition of an SHE

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Standard hydrogen electrode

... another common RE is the aq. Ag/AgCl electrode (KCl sat'd)!



$AgCI + e^{-} \rightarrow CI^{-} + Ag^{0}$

 E^0 = +0.197 V vs. SHE

AgCl (white)

But for those of you doing **photo**electrochemistry, beware!



Ag (gray), from photodecomposition of AgCl

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8e0-AbwBDYM

Pt (s) | Hg (l) | Hg₂Cl₂ (s) | Cl⁻ (sat'd, aq) | AgCl (s) | Ag (s)

Moody, Oke, & Thomas, Analyst, 1969, 94, 803

... and three final "specialty" reference electrodes include...

For aqueous **alkaline** electrolyte conditions Mercury/Mercury Oxide (Hg/HgO, 20 wt% KOH) E^0 = +0.098 V vs. SHE

For non-aqueous (CH₃CN) electrolyte solutions Ag/AgNO₃ (0.01 M) in CH₃CN

> E^0 = +0.3 V vs. SCE (*aq*), which is effectively +0.54 V vs. SHE

B&F 2.1.7

When a reference electrode cannot be used or is not wanted "Quasi-reference" electrode as a Pt wire and any redox couple

- * Used when you already have a cell with two halves of a redox couple that will not change during your experiment and thus you know the half-reaction that defines your RE
- * Calibrate with Fc (ferrocene) -



How would one test the accuracy of a reference electrode?

 Measure the potential of an internal standard versus this reference electrode
 (o g forrocono in non aquopus electrolyto)

(e.g. ferrocene in non-aqueous electrolyte)

Measure the potential of this reference electrode versus several other reference electrodes with a voltmeter

 (e.g. Ag (s) | AgCl (s) | Cl⁻ (sat'd) | AgCl (s) | Ag (s))

What if no matter what you do, the potential is unstable or the equipment overloads (i.e. gives you an error; often a red light turns on)?

- Throw the electrode away? **NO WAY!**
- Fix it!
- Check for (insulating) bubbles... change the frit... remake the redox couple... something else?

... check out tidbits on troubleshooting EChem systems (B&F 15.9)

190 An example of two RE scales at once is helpful...



B&F back inside

... now, as mentioned earlier, unfortunately, real reference electrodes 191 can do *none* of these things perfectly...

Specifically, we would really like to have a reference electrode that has the following attributes:

- 1. It has a well-defined and invariant potential. That is, no matter how much current we draw from this electrode, its potential must not vary.
- 2. It has zero impedance. That is, it imposes no resistive load on our cell.
- 3. It does not "contaminate" our solution. That is, it is not a source of undesired ions in our electrochemical cell.



Looking forward... Section 1.1 (and some of Chapter 15)

- <u>Reference electrodes</u>
- <u>2-electrode versus 3-electrode measurements</u>
- Potentiostats
- Compliance voltage/current
- J–E and I–E curves
- Kinetic overpotential
- Electrochemical window
- Faradaic reactions

... invented in 1937 by Hickling...

STUDIES IN ELECTRODE POLARISATION. PART IV.—THE AUTOMATIC CONTROL OF THE POTENTIAL OF A WORKING ELECTRODE.

By A. HICKLING.

Received 16th September, 1941.

Although the electrode potential is considered to be the dominating factor governing many electrolytic processes, it is one of the variables least amenable to direct experimental control. In general it can only be indirectly changed or maintained during electrolysis by alteration of such factors as current density, temperature, electrode material and electrolyte composition. A device whereby the potential of a working electrode can be fixed at any desired arbitrary value would seem, therefore, to have many valuable applications in the exploration of electrolytic processes, and the present paper describes an electrical circuit by means of which this aim can be achieved.

... Would a person drive a car without knowing how an ICE works?... Okay, bad example...

Hickling, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1942, 38, 27

... invented in 1937 by Hickling...



Hickling, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1942, 38, 27

... invented in 1937 by Hickling...



196

Hickling, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1942, 38, 27

... this is how (many famous electrochemists) do this today... ... meaning with somewhat "old" instruments like described in B&F

an op-amp 20 transistors, 11 resistors, and 1 capacitor; Wow! TRUMENTS Q12 Q13 Q8 Q9 V_{S+} Q14 Non-inverting Inverting input input 8-Pin Q1 O2 4.5 kΩ SOIC Package Q17 016 Top View 25 Ω**≥** 30 pF 8 IN-Q3 O4 6 39 kΩ≥ IN+ Output 7.5 kΩ 50 Ω≷ N/C -N/C Q7

197



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operational_amplifier https://www.ti.com/product/LMP7721#tech-docs ... this is how (many famous electrochemists) do this today... ... meaning with somewhat "old" instruments like described in B&F

Op-amp Golden Rules

Ideal rules that are close to correct in practice.

For an op-amp with feedback (which we have),

- (1) The Voltage Rule: The output (V_{OUT}) attempts to do whatever is necessary to make the potential difference between the inputs (IN+ and IN-) zero (because V⁻ and V⁺ are fixed).
- (2) The Current Rule: The inputs to IN+ and IN- draw no net current. Thus, by Ohm's law, the impedance is essentially infinite! ...

... How does the output then pass current?

Horowitz and Hill, The Art of Electronics, Cambridge University Press, 1980 http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/electronic/opampi.html#c2





http://www.clipartsfree.net/small/ 1174-brain-in-profile-clipart.html http://shujaabbas.hubpages.com/h ub/Cartoon-Boxing-Champion

... this is how (many famous electrochemists) do this today...



199

... this is how (many famous electrochemists) do this today...



200

... this is how (many famous electrochemists) do this today...



http://electronicdesign.com/Content/14978/59899_fig_02.jpg

... this is how (many famous electrochemists) do this today...



202

http://electronicdesign.com/Content/14978/59899_fig_02.jpg

If we want to be able to adjust the voltage on the WE, we introduce E_{app} 203 here...



http://electronicdesign.com/Content/14978/59899_fig_02.jpg

Note especially the following **for "older" (simpler) potentiostats**:

- 1. The working electrode (WE) is at (virtual) ground and has a very low impedance, Z = R + iX. You "cannot" get an electrical shock at this electrode or at this input to the potentiostat.
- 2. Amplifier U3 takes the current at the WE and converts it into a potential so it can be recorded. V = IR at the output of U3.
- 3. The reference electrode (RE), connected to the non-inverting input (+) of the op-amp U2, is asked to source a *minute* amount of current (~3 fA for this particular op-amp; 0 fA is the ideal case).
- 4. Op-amp U1 produces, at the counter electrode (CE), an output current, *I*_{out}, that is proportional to the potential difference between RE and WE (i.e. ground). *Caution: You CAN get a lethal shock at this electrode. However, this power is not infinite (your wall sockets have a limited power they can supply). The potentiostat limits are termed the compliance voltage and compliance current*...

Beware of compliance voltage issues (maximum voltage to CE)... ... and compliance current too!



https://www.metrohm.com/en_us/products/electrochemistry.html

Active I/E Converter versus Passive I/E Converter



... meaning "older"

Advantages

Both the measured current signal and the measured voltage signal are referenced to the potentiostat's ground. This makes for easy interconnection to an oscilloscope or data acquisition system without the need for differential amplifiers.

The Working Electrode is easily protected from noise by using coax cable and grounding the shield.

Disadvantages

Whenever the current measurement circuit is overloaded the working electrode is no longer maintained at virtual ground. The net result is loss of potential control.

Even if the I/E circuit is not overloaded, it can have severe influence on potentiostat stability. This was noted in the mid 60's [Ref 1]. The I/E converter must be de-tuned in order to insure potentiostat stability.

This design is not well suited for high current potentiostats since two high current amplifiers must be designed and built; one for the Control Amplifier and one for the I/E Converter.

On the Instability of Current Followers in Potentiostat Circuits

J. E. Davis,

Departments of Pathology and Medicine, Washington University; Division of Laboratory Medicine, Barnes Hospital, St. Louis, Mo. 63110

E. Clifford Toren, Jr.¹

Departments of Medicine and Pathology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis. 53706

http://www.consultrsr.net/resources/pstats/design.htm

Davis and Toren, Anal. Chem., 1974, 46, 647

206

Active I/E Converter versus Passive I/E Converter

... meaning "newer"



"Many modern potentiostats use the architecture shown here, yet it is rarely discussed at length in textbooks!"

207

"This scheme has been used by Gamry, PAR, Solartron, and perhaps others [and likely Bio-Logic]."



Unlike the active I/E converter design,

- this I/E converter is passive... current only flows through passive circuit elements (e.g. R, C, not op-amp)
- the working electrode is NOT at (virtual) (earth) ground
- the electrometer is differential between the RE and the WE sense (RE #2)

http://www.consultrsr.net/resources/pstats/design2.htm

Active I/E Converter versus Passive I/E Converter

... meaning "newer"





Advantages

The I/E Converter amplifier must only **report** the iR drop across the current sense resistor. It is is not involved in the control of the working electrode and it does not have to be a high current amplifier. The frequency response of this style of I/E converter can be faster than the "active" design because of this. Its frequency response does not have to be sacrificed for potentiostat stability since the I/E converter is not involved in the "control loop."

208

Because the electrometer is differential, a potentiostat of this design can be used to control the voltage across a membrane or across the interface between two immiscible liquids. In this application two reference electrodes are used. One is connected to the Reference input, and the other (on the other side of the membrane or interface) is connected to the Working Sense input.

Disadvantages

The Common Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR) of the differential electrometer can have a profound influence on the <u>stability</u> of this potentiostat. There are two feedback paths through the differential electrometer. The path through the reference electrode is a net **negative feedback** path if you follow it from the reference electrode to the counter electrode via the electrometer and control amplifier. This path gives stability. The path through the **Wrk Sense** input, however, is a **positive feedback** path and is destabilizing.

The overall 'gain' of the control amplifier is higher with this scheme. This is another way of saying that the control amplifier must work harder to keep the working-reference voltage correct. Not only must it overcome the counterreference resistance, but the voltage drop across the current measuring resistor as well. In many commercial designs, the voltage drop across this resistor is 100 mV or less, even at 'full scale' current. The differential amplifier often amplifies the voltage level (to a nominal 1V at full scale current) as well as buffering it.

http://www.consultrsr.net/resources/pstats/design2.htm

Potentiostat summary... for non-EE majors...

- The potentiostat does not control the potential of the working electrode!
- The potentiostat controls the potential of the counter electrode **only** (relative to the working electrode)
- The counter electrode is the most important electrode for the potentiostat EE, followed by the reference electrode
- Compliance voltage and compliance current limits are very important in the choice of the potentiostat / application
- With a few components you can build your own potentiostat for < \$100!
- "Passive" potentiostats do not hold the WE at earth ground, but can measure potentials across electrolyte interfaces

Rowe, ..., Plaxco, *PLoS One*, 2011, *6*, e23783 Mott, ..., Sykes, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2014, *91*, 1028 ... and that is why we use a 3-electrode potentiostat...



Now, pretend this experimental *I–E* curve (from my labs; in fact) was measured when the Pt WE was switched with a Hg WE... why does little current flow until ~ -1 V?



Also, don't forget about possible compliance voltage issues (maximum voltage 212 to CE)... and compliance current too!

... under conditions of steady-state current flow, we are concerned with matched (equal and opposite) currents at the WE and CE



Looking forward... Section 1.1 (and some of Chapter 15)

- <u>Reference electrodes</u>
- <u>2-electrode versus 3-electrode measurements</u>
- <u>Potentiostats</u>
- Compliance voltage/current
- J—E and I—E curves
- Kinetic overpotential
- Electrochemical window
- Faradaic reactions