# Lecture \#10 of 18(?) \{(about) halfway finished... tear\} 

# Mass Transfer Processes 

Chapters 1 and 4

Q: What's in this set of lectures?
A: B\&F Chapters $1 \& 4$ main concepts:

- Section 1.4: Mass transfer and Semi-empirical treatment of electrochemical observations
- Chapter 4: Mass transfer

Looking forward... Section 1.4 and Chapter 4

- Mass transfer
- Diffusion
- Migration / Drift
- Convection
- Semi-empirical diffusive models
- Conductivity
- Transport (Transference) number
- Balance sheets
- Ohmic drop/loss
... don't worry... there aren't too many steps...

From before, for one species the total flux in one-dimension is

$$
N=-\frac{D c}{R T} \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mu}}{d x}+c v, \text { where again } D \text { is diffusion coefficient }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right), \mathrm{c} \text { is }
$$

concentration ( $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ), $\bar{\mu}$ is the electrochemical potential $\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, v is velocity $\left(\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$
Recall that $\bar{\mu}_{i}^{\beta}=\mu_{i}^{\beta}+z_{i} F \phi^{\beta}$ and so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & =-\frac{D c}{R T} \cdot \frac{d(\mu+z F \phi)}{d x}+c v_{\ldots} \text { and recall that } \mu_{i}=\mu_{i}^{0}+R T \ln a_{i} \text { and so, } \\
N & =-\frac{D c}{R T} \cdot \frac{d\left(\mu^{\beta}+R T \ln a+z F \phi\right)}{d x}+c v_{\ldots . .} \text { and assuming } a \approx c \\
N & =-D c \cdot \frac{d(\ln c)}{d x}-\frac{z F D}{R T} c \cdot \frac{d \phi}{d x}+c v_{\ldots . .} \text { and finally } \ldots \\
\boldsymbol{J}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =-\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\partial}_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{x}}-\frac{\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{F}}{\boldsymbol{R T}_{\boldsymbol{T}}} \boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\boldsymbol{\partial} \boldsymbol{x}}+\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Who cares? ...

## RECALL:

... when the current is at a steady-state, the current is constant, and there is no new capacitive charging!

... for steady-state current, KCL applies... no, not KCI... but KCL!
Kirchhoff's Current Law (KCL)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} I_{k}=0
$$



But KCL applies to the entire circuit, including in the potentiostat! (when current flows, i.e. due motion of charges, it is the same everywhere in the circuit)

## RECAALE:


... hey, what are those minus signs at the bottom? ...

# Migrating/Drifting Ions! 

... we'll get to this shortly

Back to the first flux term... Diffusion...

## RECALL:

Diffusion coefficient ( $\mathbf{D}, \mathrm{cm}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ ) - "proportionality constant relating the flux of [the] amount of [an entity to its] concentration gradient..." (IUPAC Gold Book)

Fick's first law of steady-state Diffusion: $N_{z}=-D_{z} \frac{d c}{d z}$ in 1D


Do the units of $D$ make sense?
$N_{z}\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$, as $\left.x y\right)=D_{z} \cdot \mathrm{dc} / \mathrm{dz}\left((\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{cm}-3) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right.$, as $\left.x y z z\right)$
$\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$, as $\left.x y\right)=D_{z} \cdot\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~cm}^{-4}\right.$, as $\left.x y z z\right)$
Therefore, $D_{z}$ is has units of $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$... but as $z z$...

Huh? zz? $z^{2}$ ? What?... That was unexpected!... Is it right?...

Physician \& Physiologist


Adolf Eugen Fick (1829-1901)
... well, that must have been incorrect (it's not!)... anyway, let's try this again... Grab a beverage and let's go on a (random) walk!

From B\&F

(a)


Figure 4.4.2 (a) Probability distribution for a one-dimensional random walk over zero to four time units. The number printed over each allowed arrival point is the number of paths to that point. (b) Bar graph showing distribution at $t=4 \tau$. At this time, probability of being at $x=0$ is $6 / 16$, at $x= \pm 2 l$ is $4 / 16$, and at $x= \pm 4 l$ is $1 / 16$.
... Flashback! Pascal's triangle! ...
... well, that must have been incorrect (it's not!)... anyway, let's try this again... Grab a beverage and let's go on a (random) walk!

... so how far do species diffuse in electrochemistry?

| Dimension | $\bar{\Delta}^{*}=$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 D | $\sqrt{2 D t}$ |
| $2 D$ | $\sqrt{4 D t}$ |
| 3 D | $\sqrt{6 D t}$ |

*the rms displacement
In both directions from a...
... plane
... wire, line, tube
... point, sphere, disk

$$
\bar{\Delta}=\sqrt{(2 d) D t}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\mathrm{cm}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{cm}
$$

$\bar{\Delta}=\sqrt{(2 d) D t}$, where $d$ is the dimension
$\ldots$ and the " 2 " is for positive and negative directions
a characteristic "diffusion length"
root mean square (rms) displacement
(standard deviation)

Given $D=5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (but memorize ${ }^{\sim} 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ),

| time | $\bar{\Delta}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 ms | $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 0.1 s | $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 10 s | 0.1 mm |
| 16.7 min | 1 mm |
| 1.157 day | 1 cm |
| 0.32 year | $10 \mathrm{~cm} \approx 3.9 "$ |

$\bar{\Delta}=\sqrt{(2 d) D t}$, where $d$ is the dimension
$\ldots$ and the " 2 " is for positive and negative directions
> a characteristic "diffusion length"
> root mean square (rms) displacement
> (standard deviation)
... what are typical values for diffusion coefficients, for species in electrochemistry?
And why are both so... fast? -1 Diffusion coefficients of ions in water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Not. Salues at infinite dilution in $10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$. Calculated from data of Robinson and Stokes
"Diffusion: Mass Transfer in Fluid Systems," 2013, by E. L. Cussler

Why are both so...
slow, as examples?

* on the order of $10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ for molecules
* on the order of $10^{-7} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ for proteins
$\bar{\Delta}=\sqrt{(2 d) D t}$, where $d$ is the dimension
$\ldots$ and the " 2 " is for positive and negative directions
a characteristic "diffusion length" root mean square (rms) displacement
(standard deviation)

Protons (and hydroxide ions, maybe) do not just diffuse by normal thermal 356 motion... they also hop between molecules... by a Grotthuss mechanism...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=9.31 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)=5.28 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Chemist

Theodor von Grotthuss
(1785-1822)
from Wiki

Protons (and hydroxide ions, maybe) do not just diffuse by normal thermal 357 motion... they also hop between molecules... by a Grotthuss mechanism...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=9.31 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)=5.28 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Chemist

Theodor von Grotthuss
(1785-1822)
from Wiki

## ... $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$(and even $\mathrm{H}^{+}$) transport mechanisms are not fully agreed upon...

Proton transfer is enabled by an additional $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond contraction, not required in $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$. This explains why the activation energy for hydroxide mobility is larger than that of proton mobility by about $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The transfer cycle is terminated by hydrogen-bond formation to the other oxygen center. Available experimental data, and most of the computational results, can be rationalized in the framework of the above model. © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
at the molecular level ${ }^{2-8}$. In contrast, hydroxide ion mobility in basic solutions has received far less attention ${ }^{2,3,9,10}$, even though bases and base catalysis play important roles in many organic and biochemical reactions and in the chemical industry. The reason for this may be attributed to the century-old notion ${ }^{11}$ that a hydrated $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$can be regarded as a water molecule missing a proton, and that the transport mechanism of such a 'proton hole' can be inferred from that of an excess proton by simply reversing hydrogen bond polarities ${ }^{11-18}$. However, recent studies ${ }^{2,3}$ have identified $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$hydration complexes that bear little structural similarity to proton hydration complexes. Here we report the solution structures and transport mechanisms of hydrated hydroxide, which we obtained from first-principles computer simulations that explicitly treat quantum and thermal fluctuations of all nuclei ${ }^{19-21}$. We find that the transport mechanism, which differs significantly from the proton hole picture, involves an interplay between the previously identified hydration complexes ${ }^{2,3}$ and is strongly influenced by nuclear quantum effects.

Agmon, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2000, 319, 247

Tuckerman, Marx, and Parrinello, Nature, 2002, 417, 925
intriguing topics in aqueous chemistry. It is considered that these ions in aqueous solutions move via sequential proton transfer events, known as the Grotthuss mechanisms. Here, we present an experimental study of the diffusion and $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange of hydronium and hydroxide ions in amorphous solid water (ASW) at $140-180 \mathrm{~K}$ by using low-energy sputtering (LES) and temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) measurements. The study shows that the two species transport in ASW via fundamentally different molecular mechanisms. Whereas hydronium ions migrate via efficient proton transfer, hydroxide ions move via Brownian molecular diffusion without proton transfer. The molecular hydroxide diffusion in ASW is in stark contrast to the current view of the hydroxide diffusion mechanism in aqueous solution, which involves proton ti

Lee, Choi, Choi, Sung, and Kang, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2014, 5, 2568

Looking forward... Section 1.4 and Chapter 4

- Mass transfer
- Diffusion
- Migration / Drift
- Convection
- Semi-empirical diffusive models
- Conductivity
- Transport (Transference) number
- Balance sheets
- Ohmic drop/loss


## Moving on... remember this...

... to seed our next topic, let's assume that the walker is charged...

(a)


Figure 4.4.2 (a) Probability distribution for a one-dimensional random walk over zero to four time units. The number printed over each allowed arrival point is the number of paths to that point. (b) Bar graph showing distribution at $t=4 \tau$. At this time, probability of being at $x=0$ is $6 / 16$, at $x= \pm 2 l$ is $4 / 16$, and at $x= \pm 4 l$ is $1 / 16$.
... Flashback! Pascal's triangle! ...
... what if we applied an external field to this scenario?...
... then the random walk would no longer be quite so random!

... welcome to the concept of ionic migration/drift

Figure 4.4.2 (a) Probability distribution for a one-dimensional random walk over zero to four time units. The number printed over each allowed arrival point is the number of paths to that point. (b) Bar graph showing distribution at $t=4 \tau$. At this time, probability of being at $x=0$ is $6 / 16$, at $x= \pm 2 l$ is $4 / 16$, and at $x= \pm 4 l$ is $1 / 16$.

From B\&F
... Flashback! Pascal's triangle! ...

Diffusion coefficient ( $D, \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) - "proportionality constant relating the flux of [the] amount of [an entity to its] concentration gradient..." (IUPAC Gold Book)

Fick's first law of steady-state Diffusion: $N_{z}=-D_{z} \frac{d c}{d z}$ in 1D
Mobility ( $\mu, \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ ) - "the limiting velocity of an ion in an electric field of unit strength" (B\&F, pg. 66)... or a proportionality constant relating the velocity of an ion to the electric field strength
... start with Newton's second law of motion: $F=m \cdot a$
$\ldots|z| e \mathbf{E}=m \cdot \frac{v_{d}}{\tau}$, with $e$, elementary charge (C),
E, electric field ( $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ )),
$v_{\mathrm{d}}$, average drift velocity ( $\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ),
$\tau$, mean time (s) to reset drift motion through collisions (i.e. $v=0$ )
Because $\underline{v_{d, z}=\mu_{z} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{z}}$, this means that $\boldsymbol{\mu}=|\boldsymbol{z}| \boldsymbol{e} \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{\boldsymbol{m}}$
... and the units of mobility are correct ... $\left(\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)=\mu \cdot\left(\mathrm{V} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$
the mobility is defined from Stokes' law by the Stokes-Einstein equation based on the balance of forces acting on a particle, with charge, ze, and moving in an electric field, E :

$\mu_{i}=\frac{v}{\mathbf{E}}=\frac{\left|z_{i}\right| e}{6 \pi \eta r}$

Mathematician, Physicist, Politician, and Theologian Physicist \& Philosopher


Sir George Gabriel Stokes (1819-1903)


Albert Einstein (1879-1955)

Diffusion coefficient ( $D, \mathrm{~cm}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{- 1}}$ ) - "proportionality constant relating the flux of [the] amount of [an entity to its] concentration gradient..." (IUPAC Gold Book)

Fick's first law of steady-state Diffusion: $N_{z}=-D_{z} \frac{d c}{d z}$ in 1D
Mobility ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ ) - "the limiting velocity of an ion in an electric field of unit strength" (B\&F, pg. 66)... or a proportionality constant relating the velocity of an ion to the electric field strength

Newton's second law of motion: $F=m \cdot a, v_{d}=\mu \cdot \mathbf{E}$
Stokes' law: $F=|z| e \mathbf{E}=6 \pi \eta r v_{d}$, and so by solving $\mu=\frac{v_{d}}{\mathbf{E}}$ above, one gets $\mu=\frac{|z| e}{6 \pi \eta r}$ which gives a physical meaning to the mobility, with $\eta$ (dynamic viscosity of the medium) and $r$ (radius of the spherical ion)

Sir George Gabriel Stokes (1819-1903)

Diffusion coefficient ( $D, \mathbf{c m}^{2} \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ ) - "proportionality constant relating the flux of [the] amount of [an entity to its] concentration gradient..." (IUPAC Gold Book)

Fick's first law of steady-state Diffusion: $N_{z}=-D_{z} \frac{d c}{d z}$ in 1D
Mobility ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{s}^{-1}$ ) - "the limiting velocity of an ion in an electric field of unit strength" (B\&F, pg. 66)... or a proportionality constant relating the velocity of an ion to the electric field strength

Newton's second law of motion: $F=m \cdot a, v_{d}=\mu \cdot \mathbf{E}$
... and a very important point is that these two parameters, $D$ and $\mu$, are related!
... Einstein-Smoluchowski equation,

$$
\mu_{i}=\frac{\left|z_{i}\right| F D_{i}}{R T}
$$

... and what is the value of ${ }^{R T} /{ }_{F}$ ? 25.7 mV !

... some textbooks (Bockris) initially define the migration/drift term in terms of mobility based on straightforward physical reasoning...
mobility
... use the Nernst-Planck equation for one species, $i$, which is defined as...

$$
N_{i}=-D_{i} \frac{d c_{i}}{d x}-\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \mu_{i} c_{i} \frac{d \phi}{d x}+c_{i} v
$$

... and the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}$ equation can be derived by the following reasoning...
... evaluate the condition where the net flux is zero in a quiescent solution, meaning transport due to thermal motion and that from the force of an electric field cancel each other out...

$$
0=-D_{i} \frac{d c_{i}}{d x}-\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \mu_{i} c_{i} \frac{d \phi}{d x}+\mathscr{C}
$$



$$
\xrightarrow[J_{\text {diff }}=-D \frac{d c}{d x}]{\text { diffusion FLUX }}
$$

... some textbooks (Bockris) initially define the migration/drift term in terms of mobility based on straightforward physical reasoning...
mobility
... use the Nernst-Planck equation for one species, $i$, which is defined as...

$$
N_{i}=-D_{i} \frac{d c_{i}}{d x}-\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \mu_{i} c_{i} \frac{d \phi}{d x}+c_{i} v
$$

... and the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}$ equation can be derived by the following reasoning...
... evaluate the condition where the net flux is zero in a quiescent solution, meaning transport due to thermal motion and that from the force of an electric field cancel each other out...
$0=-D_{i} \frac{d c_{i}}{d x}-\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \mu_{i} c_{i} \frac{d \phi}{d x}+C_{C}$
$D_{i} \frac{d c_{i}}{d x}=-\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \mu_{i} c_{i} \frac{d \phi}{d x}$, and using the "Boltzmann law," $c_{i}=c_{0, i} e^{-\frac{\bar{\mu}_{i}}{R T}}$,
$D_{i}\left(-\frac{1}{R T} c_{0, i} e^{-\frac{\bar{\mu}_{i}}{R T}} \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mu}_{i}}{d x}\right)=-\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \mu_{i}\left(c_{0, i} e^{-\frac{\bar{\mu}_{i}}{R T}}\right) \cdot \frac{d \phi}{d x^{\prime}}$, and (flip the $z_{i}$ term),
$D_{i}\left(\frac{\left|z_{i}\right|}{z_{i}} \frac{1}{R T} \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mu}_{i}}{d x}\right)=\mu_{i} \cdot \frac{d \phi}{d x}$, and because the electric potential component of the electrochemical potential equals $z_{i} F \phi$, then $\frac{d \bar{\mu}_{i}}{d \phi}=z_{i} F$,
$\mu_{i}=\frac{\left|z_{i}\right| F D_{i}}{R T} \ldots$ the Einstein-Smoluchowski equation
... and now lastly, a simplified (cleaner) Nernst-Planck equation...

## electrochemical potential

From before, for one species the total flux in one-dimension is

$$
N=-\frac{D c}{R T} \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mu}^{k}}{d x}+c v, \ldots\{\text { several math steps from before }\}
$$

$$
N=-\mathrm{D} \cdot \frac{d c}{d x}-\frac{z F D}{R T} c \cdot \frac{d \phi}{d x}+c v_{\ldots} \text { Never subscript } i \text {, as it is species independent }
$$

... which can also be written using the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}$ equation

$$
N=-D \cdot \frac{d c}{d x}-\frac{|z|}{z} \mu c \cdot \frac{d \phi}{d x}+c v
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{i}=\frac{\left|z_{i}\right| F D_{i}}{R T} \\
& D_{i}=\frac{R T \mu_{i}}{\left|z_{i}\right| F}
\end{aligned}
$$

B\&F, 4.2.2
flux

... and now lastly, a simplified (cleaner) Nernst-Planck equation...

## electrochemical potential

From before, for one species the total flux in one-dimension is

$$
N=-\frac{D c}{R T} \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mu}}{d x}+c v, \ldots\{\text { several math steps from before }\}
$$

$$
N=-\mathrm{D} \cdot \frac{d c}{d x}-\frac{z F D}{R T} c \cdot \frac{d \phi^{2}}{d x}+c v \ldots \text { Never subscript } i \text {, as it is species independent }
$$

... which can also be written using the $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}$ equation
$N=-D \cdot \frac{d c}{d x} \mp \mu c \cdot \frac{d \phi}{d x}+c v$


$$
\square
$$



$$
D_{i}=\frac{R T \not \mu_{i}}{\left|z_{i}\right| F}
$$

C

Looking forward... Section 1.4 and Chapter 4

- Mass transfer
- Diffusion
- Migration / Drift
- Convection
- Semi-empirical diffusive models
- Conductivity
- Transport (Transference) number
- Balance sheets
- Ohmic drop/loss

Wow, those were some hefty equations... there is some value in thinking semi-quantitatively about mass transport and B\&F developed a formalism for this (pp. 29-35):

Why?
The goal: Derive a (simple) expression for the current as a function of the applied potential in our electrochemical cell.

Wow, those were some hefty equations... there is some value in thinking
semi-quantitatively about mass transport and B\&F developed a formalism for this (pp. 29-35):
first, let's eliminate contributions to transport from migration/drift and convection SO WE CAN FOCUS ON DIFFUSIONAL EFFECTS...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { do not stir near }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{i}(x)=-D_{i} \frac{\partial C_{i}(x)}{\partial x}
\end{aligned}
$$

And imagine a scenario where not only this is true, but where $d C / d x$ is time invariant, meaning at steady-state, and thus you should see no hysteresis. (Ironically, this situation is encountered when the bulk solution is stirred... more on that in a bit...)

Wow, those were some hefty equations... there is some value in thinking
semi-quantitatively about mass transport and B\&F developed a formalism for this (pp. 29-35):
first, let's eliminate contributions to transport from migration/drift and convection SO WE CAN FOCUS ON DIFFUSIONAL EFFECTS...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { do not stir near }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{i}(x)=-D_{i} \frac{\partial C_{i}(x)}{\partial x}
\end{aligned}
$$

now, consider specifically the reduction of some molecule "O" (first w/o "R"):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{O}+n \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{R} \\
\text { (example: }\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}+1 \mathrm{e}^{-} \underset{ }{\rightleftarrows}\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{4-} \text { ) }
\end{gathered}
$$

... because we are at steady-state, let's approximate the concentration gradient near the WE as a linear function:

$$
N_{O}(x)=-D_{O} \frac{C_{O}^{*}-C_{O}(x=0)}{\delta_{O}}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{0}{ }^{*}$ is the bulk concentration of $\mathrm{O}, \delta$ is the Nernst diffusion layer thickness


Figure 1.4.1 Concentration profiles (solid lines) and diffusion layer approximation (dashed lines). $x=0$ corresponds to the electrode surface and $\delta_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the diffusion layer thickness.
Concentration profiles are shown at two different electrode potentials: $(1)$ where $C_{\mathrm{O}}(x=0)$ is about $C_{\mathrm{O}}^{*} / 2,(2)$ where $C_{\mathrm{O}}(x=0) \approx 0$ and $i=i_{l}$.
... because we are at steady-state, let's approximate the concentration gradient near the WE as a linear function:

$$
N_{O}(x)=-D_{O} \frac{C_{O}^{*}-C_{O}(x=0)}{\delta_{O}}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{0}{ }^{*}$ is the bulk concentration of $\mathrm{O}, \delta$ is the Nernst diffusion layer thickness


Figure 1.4.1 Concentration profiles (solid lines) and diffusion layer approximation (dashed lines). $x=0$ corresponds to the electrode surface and $\delta_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the diffusion layer thickness.
Concentration profiles are shown at two different electrode potentials: $(1)$ where $C_{\mathrm{O}}(x=0)$ is about $C_{\mathrm{O}}^{*} / 2,(2)$ where $C_{\mathrm{O}}(x=0) \approx 0$ and $i=i_{l}$.
... because it will be convenient later, group the diffusion coefficient with the diffusion layer thickness:

$$
\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{O}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{O}}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{O}}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { where } m_{O} \text { is the mass transfer } \\
& \text { coefficient (units: } \mathrm{cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; \text { a velocity) }
\end{aligned}
$$

* Note: dimensionally we have
... substituting...

... because it will be convenient later, group the diffusion coefficient with the diffusion layer thickness:

$$
\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{O}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{O}}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{O}}} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { where } m_{o} \text { is the mass transfer } \\
& \text { coefficient (units: } \mathrm{cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; \text { a velocity) }
\end{aligned}
$$

* Note: dimensionally we have
... substituting... $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) / \mathrm{cm}$

$$
N_{o}(x)=-m_{0}\left[C_{O}^{*}-C_{o}(x=0)\right]
$$

... writing the flux (i.e. areal rate) in terms of the current...

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{n F A}=m_{o}\left[C_{0}(x=0)-C_{0}^{*}\right] \tag{1.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

... since this was assumed to be at steady-state, the flux for the transport of "R" must be opposite and at the same rate...

$$
\frac{i}{n F A}=m_{R}\left[C_{R}^{*}-C_{R}(x=0)\right]
$$

$\ldots$ and to simply the process, define the fastest rate, $i_{l}$, as when $\boldsymbol{C}_{o}(\boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{i}{n F A}=m_{o}\left[C_{O}(x=0)-C_{O}^{*}\right] \\
& \frac{i_{l}}{n F A}=m_{o}\left[0-C_{0}^{*}\right]=-m_{o} C_{O}^{*} \\
& \frac{i-i_{l}}{n F A}=m_{o} C_{o}(x=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

... and, as an example, if no R is present initially then $C_{R}{ }^{*}=0$

$$
\frac{i}{n F A}=m_{R}\left[C_{R}^{*}-C_{R}(x=0)\right]=-m_{R} C_{R}(x=0)
$$

... now we can obtain the potential dependence of the current by making two substitutions into the Nernst Equation, which we assume holds given that electron-transfer from/to the electrode to/from $O / R$ is rapid enough that equilibrium concentrations are maintained at the electrode surface...

$$
E=E^{0}+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{C_{o}(x=0)}{C_{R}(x=0)}\right)
$$

$$
E=\underset{J}{E^{0 \prime}}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{m_{0}}{m_{R}}\right)+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{i_{l}-i}{i}\right)
$$

formal potential = experimentally measured $E^{\circ}$
... and this equation is further simplified by using the definition for the half-wave potential: $E=E_{1 / 2}$ when $i=i_{l} / 2$.

$$
E_{1 / 2}=E^{0 \prime}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{m_{0}}{m_{R}}\right)+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{i_{l}-i_{l / 2}}{i_{l / 2}}\right)
$$

$$
E=\underset{\nearrow}{E^{0 \prime}}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{m_{o}}{m_{R}}\right)+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{i_{l}-i}{i}\right)
$$

formal potential = experimentally measured $E^{\circ}$
... and this equation is further simplified by using the definition for the half-wave potential: $E=E_{1 / 2}$ when $i=i_{l} / 2$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{1 / 2}=E^{0 \prime}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{m_{o}}{m_{R}}\right)+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{i_{V}-i_{2}}{i_{y} / 2}\right) \\
E_{1 / 2}=E^{0 \prime}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{m_{o}}{m_{R}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
E=E_{1 / 2}+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{i_{l}-i}{i}\right)
$$

... this will show up again...


Figure 1.4.2 (a) Current-potential curve for a nernstian reaction involving two soluble species with only oxidant present initially. (b) $\log \left[\left(i_{l}-i\right) / i\right]$ vs. $E$ for this system.

What happens to the potential when $i \rightarrow i_{\text {, }}$ ? $E \rightarrow-\infty$ What happens to the potential when $i \rightarrow 0$ ? $E \rightarrow+\infty$
$\ldots$ and when $C_{R}^{*} \neq 0 \ldots$

$$
E=E^{0 \prime}-\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{m_{o}}{m_{R}}\right)+\frac{R T}{n F} \ln \left(\frac{i_{l, c}-i}{i-i_{l, a}}\right)
$$

Looks linear...
We could define a "resistance" (activation energy) for this using Ohm's law (but it's not an ohmic process)... $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{mt}}$

We could also define a linearized overpotential formula here... $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\text {conc }}$ (or $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{mt}}$ )
... this will show up again too...

$$
i_{l, c} / i_{l, \mathrm{a}}=\left(D_{0} c_{0}\right) /\left(D_{\mathrm{R}} c_{\mathrm{R}}\right)
$$

Figure 1.4.3 Current-potential curve for a nernstian system involving two soluble species with both forms initially present.
... but recall that this is all due to mass transport by diffusion only...
... what if we now also include mass transport by migration/drift?...

Looking forward... Section 1.4 and Chapter 4

- Mass transfer
- Diffusion
- Migration / Drift
- Convection
- Semi-empirical diffusive models
- Conductivity
- Transport (Transference) number
- Balance sheets
- Ohmic drop/loss

