

86

Lecture #4 of 20

86

87

Looking forward... our review of Chapter "0"

- Cool applications
- Redox half-reactions
- Balancing electrochemical equations
- History of electrochemistry and Batteries
- IUPAC terminology and $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}}$
- Thermodynamics and the Nernst equation (halfway complete)
- Common reference electrodes
- Standard and Absolute potentials
- Latimer and Pourbaix diagrams
- Calculating E_{cell} under non-standard-state conditions
- Conventions

87

88

Quick Check on Your Understanding

You try!

(a) What is the standard E_{cell} for a galvanic cell based on zinc and silver?

(b) If one wanted to electrolytically charge the cell from part a (before any reactions took place), what potential would one have to provide?

electro-chemical series

Potassium	-2.92
Calcium	-2.87
Sodium	-2.71
Magnesium	-2.37
Aluminium	-1.66
Zinc	-0.76
Iron	-0.44
Tin	-0.14
Lead	-0.13
Hydrogen	0.00
Copper	+0.34
Silver	+0.80
Mercury	+0.85
Gold	+1.68

88

Quick Check on Your Understanding

89

You try!

(a) What is the standard E_{cell} for a galvanic cell based on zinc and silver?

$$E_{\text{cell}} = +0.80 \text{ V} - (-0.76 \text{ V}) = 1.56 \text{ V}$$

(b) If one wanted to electrolytically charge the cell from part a (before any reactions took place), what potential would one have to provide?

$$E_{\text{bias}} < -1.56 \text{ V} \dots \text{ such that } E_{\text{cell}} + E_{\text{bias}} < 0 \text{ (this is the observed value)}$$

electro-chemical series

Potassium	-2.92
Calcium	-2.87
Sodium	-2.71
Magnesium	-2.37
Aluminium	-1.66
Zinc	-0.76
Iron	-0.44
Tin	-0.14
Lead	-0.13
Hydrogen	0.00
Copper	+0.34
Silver	+0.80
Mercury	+0.85
Gold	+1.68

89

RECALL: International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC)

90

(Accepted) Nomenclature and Terminology that you've learned, but may have forgotten

- Coulomb (in units of $C = A \cdot s$) is the unit of charge (96,485 C are in a mole of singly charged species = Faraday constant, $F = 96,500 \text{ C/mol} \approx 10^5 \text{ C/mol}$)
- Electricity is the flow of current (I ; in units of $A = C/s$) and is negative (cathodic) or positive (anodic) depending on the direction and sign of the current-carrying species (e.g. e^- , H^+)
- (Electrode) (electric) potential (V or E ; in units of $V = J/C$) is written as a reduction. This relates to Gibbs free energy as $\Delta G = -nFE_{\text{cell}}$ (electrical work per mole), and...
 - ... partial molar Gibbs free energy is the **electrochemical potential** (μ , in units of J/mol)
 - **Chemical potential** (μ , in units of J/mol)
 - Galvani/inner (electric) potential (ϕ , in units of V)
 - ... and in summary, $\mu = \mu^\circ + zF\phi$
- Also, standard state is a solvent, a solid, and a species at unit activity ($\sim 1 \text{ M}$ solutes, $\sim 1 \text{ bar}$ gases). Also, $E = IR$ (Ohm's law) when resistance is constant.
- Galvanic cells produce power (P ; in units of $W = A \times V = C/s \times J/C = J/s$) by spontaneous redox reactions
- Electrolytic cells require a power input to drive redox reactions; thus, the reactions are thermodynamically unfavorable
- A battery has an **anode/anolyte** and a **cathode/catholyte**, but these descriptors change depending on whether the battery is being discharged (galvanic) or charged (electrolytic); **negative electrode/negolyte** and **positive electrode/posolyte** are better

90

For "clarity," a brief (more rigorous) "review" of thermodynamics...

91

Electrochemical potential of species i in phase β is an energy (J/mol),

$$\bar{\mu}_i^\beta = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial n_i^\beta} \right)_{T, p, n_{j \neq i}} = \mu_i^\beta + z_i F \phi^\beta, \text{ where}$$

RECALL:

G (Gibbs free energy (J))

n_i (amount of species i (mol))

$\mu_i = \mu_i^\circ + RT \ln a_i$ (chemical potential (J/mol))

z_i (valency of species i)

$F \approx 10^5$ (Faraday constant (C/mol))

ϕ^β (Galvani/inner electric potential (V))

a_i (activity of species i)

For an uncharged species $\bar{\mu}_i^\beta = \mu_i^\beta$.

... more on this later...

Parsons, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1973, 37, 501
IUPAC Gold (<http://goldbook.iupac.org>)

91

Half reactions, at non-unity *activity*, obey the Nernst equation...

92

Take $\Delta G = \Delta G^\circ + RT \ln Q$ and use the relation $\Delta G = -nFE$,

But first... what is Q , again? ... the reaction quotient!

$$a A + b B \rightleftharpoons c C + d D$$

$$Q_c = \frac{[C]^c [D]^d}{[A]^a [B]^b}$$

92

Half reactions, at non-unity *activity*, obey the Nernst equation...

93

Take $\Delta G = \Delta G^\circ + RT \ln Q$ and use the relation $\Delta G = -nFE$,

But first... what is Q , again? ... the reaction quotient!

$$Q = \frac{\prod_p a_p^{v_p}}{\prod_r a_r^{v_r}} = \frac{\prod_p \left(\gamma_p \frac{c_p}{c^\circ} \right)^{v_p}}{\prod_r \left(\gamma_r \frac{c_r}{c^\circ} \right)^{v_r}}$$

$$Q = \frac{\prod_p c_p^{v_p}}{\prod_r c_r^{v_r}}, \text{ for dilute solutions... which we never have!}$$

a_p is the activity of product p
 a_r is the activity of reactant r
 v_i is the stoichiometric number of i
 γ_i is the activity coefficient of i
 c_i is the concentration of i
 c_i° is the standard state concentration of i

93

Half reactions, at non-unity *activity*, obey the Nernst equation...

94

Take $\Delta G = \Delta G^\circ + RT \ln Q$ and use the relation $\Delta G = -nFE$,

$$-nFE = -nFE^\circ + RT \ln Q$$

$$E = E^\circ - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q$$

$$E = E^\circ - \frac{RT \log Q}{nF \log e}$$

$$E = E^\circ - \frac{RT}{0.4343nF} \log Q$$

$$E = E^\circ - \frac{2.3026RT}{nF} \log Q$$

... and at 298.15 K, $E = E^\circ - \frac{0.05916 V}{n} \log Q$

Reaction quotient as product and quotient of species' activities

Physicist



Walther Hermann Nernst
 (1864–1941)
 Nobel Prize (Chemistry, 1920)
 from Wiki

Memorize ~60 mV per order in $\log_{10}$, but do not forget n and that this is at 25 °C!

94

95

Looking forward... our review of Chapter "0"

- Cool applications
- Redox half-reactions
- Balancing electrochemical equations
- History of electrochemistry and Batteries
- IUPAC terminology and $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}}$
- Thermodynamics and the Nernst equation
- Common reference electrodes
- Standard and Absolute potentials
- Latimer and Pourbaix diagrams
- Calculating E_{cell} under non-standard-state conditions
- Conventions


95

Half reactions must be referenced to something...

96

$$E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}}$$

Since the method of half-reactions ultimately results in us taking their difference, we can add an arbitrary constant to all half reactions... By one (somewhat arbitrary) convention, it is often assumed that E° for the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) is equal to zero.

Half-Reaction for Hydrogen gas (H_2):

Thus, the potentials for half-cell reactions **are** actually full-cell potential (difference(s)) versus SHE, or other! **Given this value, what is this experimental reduction potential versus?**

96

Half reactions must be referenced to something...

97

$$E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}}$$

Since the method of half-reactions ultimately results in us taking their difference, we can add an arbitrary constant to all half reactions... By one (somewhat arbitrary) convention, it is often assumed that E° for the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) is equal to zero.

* Normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) is an empirical SHE ($[\text{H}^+] = 1 \text{ M}$; not standard state)
 * Standard hydrogen electrode (SHE) is a hypothetical, perfect NHE ($a = 1$; not empirical)
 * Reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) is the SHE but the same regardless of pH
 * And generally, formal potentials (E°) take into consideration non-idealities and changes in ionic strengths so that the reaction quotient only has concentrations, and not activities

$$E_{\text{H}_2} = E_{\text{H}_2}^\circ + \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{\sqrt{p_{\text{H}_2}}}{[\text{H}^+]}$$

$$E_{\text{H}_2}^\circ = 0$$

Outdated Terminology: The Normal Hydrogen Electrode

R. W. Ramette
Carleton College, Northfield, MN 55057

Ramette, J. Chem. Educ., 1987, 64, 885

97

EXAMPLE: Write a balanced chemical equation and calculate the standard cell potential for the galvanic cell:
 $\text{Zn(s)} \mid \text{Zn}^{2+} (1 \text{ M}) \parallel \text{MnO}_4^- (1 \text{ M}), \text{Mn}^{2+} (1 \text{ M}), \text{H}^+ (1 \text{ M}) \mid \text{Pt(s)}$

Look up half-reactions and standard reduction potentials in an Electrochemical Series table (CRC, B&F Appendix C, WWW):

Anode: $\text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Zn}$ $E^\circ_{\text{anode}} = -0.76 \text{ V}$

Note: Although strictly correct, do not use “ $-E^\circ$ ” as the “ E° ” for oxidation”

Cathode: $\text{MnO}_4^- + 8\text{H}^+ + 5\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ $E^\circ_{\text{cathode}} = +1.51 \text{ V}$

Note: Be careful to choose the correct half-reaction with MnO_4^-

98

CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 92nd Edition

99

$\text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}$	-1.185	
$\text{Mn}^{3+} + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+}$	1.5415	
$\text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.224	* All values versus SHE
$\text{MnO}_4^- + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_4^{2-}$	0.558	
$\text{MnO}_4^- + 4\text{H}^+ + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.679	
$\text{MnO}_4^- + 8\text{H}^+ + 5\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.507	$\text{MnO}_4^- + 8\text{H}^+ + 5\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$
$\text{MnO}_4^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{OH}^-$	0.595	
$\text{MnO}_4^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{MnO}_2 + 4\text{OH}^-$	0.60	
$\text{Mn(OH)}_2 + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn} + 2\text{OH}^-$	-1.56	
$\text{Mn(OH)}_2 + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn(OH)}_2 + \text{OH}^-$	0.15	
$\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + 6\text{H}^+ + \text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons 2\text{Mn}^{2+} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$	1.485	

$\text{Zn} \rightarrow \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^-$

$\text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}$	-0.7618
$\text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn(Hg)}$	-0.7628
$\text{ZnO}_2^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn} + 4\text{OH}^-$	-1.215
$\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn(Hg)} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 7\text{H}_2\text{O (Saturated ZnSO}_4\text{)}$	-0.7993
$\text{ZnOH}^+ + \text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	-0.497
$\text{Zn(OH)}_2^{2-} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn} + 4\text{OH}^-$	-1.199
$\text{Zn(OH)}_2 + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn} + 2\text{OH}^-$	-1.249
$\text{ZnO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{e}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn} + 2\text{OH}^-$	-1.260

https://diverdi.colostate.edu/all_courses/CRC%20reference%20data/electrochemical%20series.pdf

99

EXAMPLE: Write a balanced chemical equation and calculate the standard cell potential for the galvanic cell:
 $\text{Zn(s)} \mid \text{Zn}^{2+} (1 \text{ M}) \parallel \text{MnO}_4^- (1 \text{ M}), \text{Mn}^{2+} (1 \text{ M}), \text{H}^+ (1 \text{ M}) \mid \text{Pt(s)}$

Look up half-reactions and standard reduction potentials in an Electrochemical Series table (CRC, B&F Appendix C, WWW):

Anode: $\text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Zn}$ $E^\circ_{\text{anode}} = -0.76 \text{ V}$

Note: Although strictly correct, do not use “ $-E^\circ$ ” as the “ E° ” for oxidation”

Cathode: $\text{MnO}_4^- + 8\text{H}^+ + 5\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Mn}^{2+} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ $E^\circ_{\text{cathode}} = +1.51 \text{ V}$

Note: Be careful to choose the correct half-reaction with MnO_4^-

To get the balanced overall reaction?... multiply the anode reaction by 5 and subtract it from 2 times the cathode reaction:

$2\text{MnO}_4^- (\text{aq}) + 16\text{H}^+ (\text{aq}) + 5\text{Zn} (\text{s}) \rightarrow 5\text{Zn}^{2+} (\text{aq}) + 2\text{Mn}^{2+} (\text{aq}) + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{l})$

$E_{\text{cell}} = E^\circ_{\text{cathode}} - E^\circ_{\text{anode}} = 1.51 \text{ V} + 0.76 \text{ V} = +2.27 \text{ V}$

100

Q: What processes occur in electrochemistry? ... one more ... 101

(remember this?)

CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 92nd Edition

$Mg^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Mg$	-2.70
$Na^{+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Na$	-2.71
$Yb^{3+} + 3e^- \rightleftharpoons Yb$	-2.76
$Ba^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ba$	-2.8
$Hg^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Hg(l)$	-2.8
$Ra^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ra$	-2.8
$Eu^{3+} + 3e^- \rightleftharpoons Eu$	-2.812
$Ca^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ca$	-2.868
$Sr(OH)_2 + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Sr + 2OH^{-}$	-2.88
$Sr^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Sr$	-2.899
$Fr^{+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Fr$	-2.9
$La(OH)_3 + 3e^- \rightleftharpoons La + 3OH^{-}$	-2.90
$Ba^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ba$	-2.912
$K^{+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons K$	-2.931
$Rb^{+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Rb$	-2.98
$Ba(OH)_2 + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ba + 2OH^{-}$	-2.99
$Er^{3+} + 3e^- \rightleftharpoons Er$	-3.0
$Ca(OH)_2 + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ca + 2OH^{-}$	-3.02
$Ce^{3+} + 3e^- \rightleftharpoons Ce$	-3.026
$Li^{+} + e^- \rightleftharpoons Li$	-3.040
$3N_2 + 2H^{+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons 2HN_3$	-3.09
$Pb^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Pb$	-3.1
$Ca^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Ca$	-3.80
$Sr^{2+} + 2e^- \rightleftharpoons Sr$	-4.10

* All values versus SHE

* How negative can E° be?

https://diverdi.colostate.edu/all_courses/CRC%20reference%20data/electrochemical%20series.pdf

101

Q: What processes occur in electrochemistry? ... one more ... 102


A: **Winter, 2012:** Those involving the motion/transport of charge – carried by entities other than *unsolvated* electrons and holes – through phase(s), or the transfer of charge across interface(s).

Example: solvated electrons

Prof. Robert Hamers (Univ. of Wisconsin)



<http://hamers.chem.wisc.edu/people>



Zhu, ..., Hamers, *Nature Materials*, 2013, 12, 836

102


First description of conductivity using solvated electrons 103

During the first part of the twentieth century, E. C. Franklin and C. A. Kraus probably did more to elucidate the chemistry of liquid ammonia solutions than everybody else combined. ... It is perhaps little known that their work was prompted by the research and insight of H. P. Cady, carried out while he was an undergraduate! Whilst working on cobalt ammine complexes, Cady proposed that ammonia in these (and other "double salts") must function in a manner akin to water in salts with water of crystallization. He suggested further that liquid ammonia would probably be found to resemble water in its physical and chemical properties—thus adding a second to our list of ionizing solvents. Cady's undergraduate work, carried out without supervision, published in 1897, was perhaps the first physical chemistry study of liquid ammonia solutions.

Zurek, Edwards & Hoffman, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 8198

In the case of the sodium solution, no signs of a polarization current could be detected with a sensitive galvanometer, and it will be noticed that the molecular conductivity rises with the concentration, contrary to that of electrolytes. As has been mentioned above no gas is given off when the solution is submitted to electrolysis. The sodium is not affected by the current, nor is there any deposit on the electrodes. In spite of the fact that the sodium is in solution, the solution seems to conduct like a metal and not like an electrolyte.

Salt used	Dissolved in ammonia at -34°	μ
Metallic sodium, $\frac{1}{2}$ being taken as the molecular weight,	4.28	393.
	3.97	413.
	3.8	448.



<https://www.chemistryworld.com/news/solvated-electrons-metallic-transition-resolved-with-spectroscopy/4011997.article>

... very conductive... and strongly colored!

Cady, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1897, 1, 707

103

Q: What is electrochemistry? ... one more ...

104


A: Winter, 2017: Those involving the motion/transport of charge – carried by entities other than *unsolvated* electrons and holes – through phase(s), or the transfer of charge across interface(s).

Example: solvated electrons

Prof. Robert Hamers (Univ. of Wisconsin)



<http://hamers.chem.wisc.edu/people>



Zhu, ..., Hamers, *Nature Materials*, 2013, 12, 836

104

Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated... very carefully...

105

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PURE
AND APPLIED CHEMISTRY
PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY DIVISION
COMMISSION ON ELECTROCHEMISTRY*

THE ABSOLUTE ELECTRODE POTENTIAL: AN EXPLANATORY NOTE (Recommendations 1986)



Prof. Sergio Trasatti
(Università di Milano, Italy)

On the basis of the values in Table 1 the recommended absolute potential of the standard hydrogen electrode, as results from both eqns.(12) and (15) with the same accuracy, is:

$$E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2)_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(\text{abs}) = (4.44 \pm 0.02) \text{ V} \quad \text{at } 298.15 \text{ K} \quad (19)$$

Note k: It must be stressed that calculations based on eqns.(12) and (15) are only apparently independent since the quantities involved derive from the same set of experimental data. For instance, α_{H^+} is obtained from (ref. 15): $\alpha_{\text{H}^+} G^\circ = \Delta_{\text{ion}} G^\circ + 2F\phi + \phi_{\text{H}^+}$. It should be noted that, owing to the role of the decimal figures, eqn.(15) gives 4.448 V, *i.e.* 4.45 V. However, in view of the discussion concerning eqns.(10) and (11), the value calculated by means of eqn.(12) is to be preferred because it ensues directly from the experimental data.

Trasatti, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1986, 58, 955

105

Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated... very carefully...


106

$$E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2)(\text{abs}) = (\Delta_{\text{at}} G^\circ + \Delta_{\text{ion}} G^\circ + \alpha_{\text{H}^+}^\circ S) / F \quad (12)$$

TABLE 1. Values of quantities needed to calculate the absolute potential of the standard hydrogen electrode (in water [298.15 K])

$\text{H}_2 = \text{H}$	$\Delta_{\text{at}} G^\circ = 203.30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	ref. (17)
$\text{H} = \text{H}^+ + \text{e}$	$\Delta_{\text{ion}} G^\circ = 1313.82 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	(1,17)
$\text{H}^+(\text{vacuum}) = \text{H}^+(\text{water})$	$\alpha_{\text{H}^+}^\circ = -(1088 \pm 2) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	(15)
Potential of zero charge of Hg	$E_{\text{pzc}}(\text{SHE}) = -(0.192 \pm 0.001) \text{ V}$	(18)
Electron work function of Hg	$\phi = (4.50 \pm 0.02) \text{ eV}$	(19)
E° of cell (16)	$E_{\text{H}^+}^\circ = -(0.0559 \pm 0.0002) \text{ V}$	(15)
Contact potential difference ^a	$E_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^\circ = -(0.248 \pm 0.001) \text{ V}$	

^aFrom eqn. (18)



Trasatti, *Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem.*, 1974, 52, 313
Trasatti, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1986, 58, 955

106

Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated...
very carefully...

107

$$E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2)(\text{abs}) = (\Delta_{\text{at}}G^\circ + \Delta_{\text{ion}}G^\circ + \alpha_{\text{H}^+}^{\text{aq}})/F \quad (12)$$


TABLE 1. Values of quantities needed to calculate the absolute potential of the standard hydrogen electrode in water (298.15 K)

$\frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}$	$\Delta_{\text{at}}G^\circ = 203.30 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	ref. (17)
$\text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{e}$	$\Delta_{\text{ion}}G^\circ = 1313.82 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	(1,17)
$\text{H}^+(\text{vacuum}) \rightarrow \text{H}^+(\text{water})$	$\alpha_{\text{H}^+}^{\text{aq}} = -(108 \pm 2) \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	(15)
Potential of zero charge of Hg	$E_{\text{PZC}}(\text{SHE}) = -(0.192 \pm 0.001) \text{ V}$	(18)
Electron work function of Hg	$\phi = (4.50 \pm 0.02) \text{ eV}$	(19)
E° of cell (16)	$E_{\text{cell}}^\circ = -(0.0559 \pm 0.0002) \text{ V}$	(15)
Contact potential difference ^a	$E_{\text{Hg}}^{\text{Hg}} - E_{\text{H}^+}^{\text{H}^+} = -(0.248 \pm 0.001) \text{ V}$	

^aFrom eqn. (18)

Born-Haber cycle:

(two ways to solve this)



... but we need an electrode!

Trasatti, *Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem.*, 1974, 52, 313
Trasatti, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1986, 58, 955

107


Absolute potentials can be measured / approximated...
very carefully...

108

$$E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2)(\text{abs}) = (\Delta_{\text{at}}G^\circ + \Delta_{\text{ion}}G^\circ + \alpha_{\text{H}^+}^{\text{aq}})/F \quad (12)$$


$$E_{\text{cell}}^\circ = \Delta\phi^\circ(\text{Hg}, \text{H}_2) = E^\circ(\text{H}^+/\text{H}_2)(\text{abs}) - \phi_{\text{Hg}}^\circ \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Hg} | \text{air} | \text{H}^+(\text{satn}) | (\text{H}_2)\text{Pt} \quad (16)$$



Born-Haber cycle:

(two ways to solve this)



Farrell and McTigue, *Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem.*, 1982, 139, 37

Trasatti, *Electroanal. Chem. Interfac. Electrochem.*, 1974, 52, 313

Trasatti, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1986, 58, 955

... but we need an electrode!

108

109


Looking forward... our review of Chapter "0"

- Cool applications
- Redox half-reactions
- Balancing electrochemical equations
- History of electrochemistry and Batteries
- IUPAC terminology and $E_{\text{cell}} = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}}$
- Thermodynamics and the Nernst equation
- Common reference electrodes
- Standard and Absolute potentials
- Latimer and Pourbaix diagrams
- Calculating E_{cell} under non-standard-state conditions
- Conventions

109

Two diagrams of empirical standard reduction potentials... (UPDATED) 110

A **Latimer diagram** is a summary of the E° values for an element; it is useful for visualizing the complete redox series for an element and for determining when disproportionation will occur.



Wendell Mitchell Latimer
(1893–1955)


<http://academic.oup.com/chemistry/people/info.php?pid=24644>

Latimer, The oxidation states of the elements and their potentials in aqueous solution, 1938

110

Two diagrams of empirical standard reduction potentials... (UPDATED) 111

A **Latimer diagram** is a summary of the E° values for an element; it is useful for visualizing the complete redox series for an element and for determining when disproportionation will occur.



from Wiki

Disproportionation – spontaneous and simultaneous reduction and oxidation of a molecule (the opposite is **comproportionation** (AKA: **sympportionation**))

- (1) Does Mn^{2+} disproportionate? **NO.** $E^\circ = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}} = 1.18 - 1.51 = -0.33 \text{ V}$
 (2) What is the standard reduction potential of MnO_4^- to MnO_2 ?

Total Reaction: $3\text{Mn}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^0 + 2\text{Mn}^{3+}$ $E^\circ_{\text{total}} = ?$


Reduction: $\text{Mn}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^0$ $E^\circ = +1.18 \text{ V}$

Oxidation: $\text{Mn}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{Mn}^{3+}$ $E^\circ = +1.51 \text{ V}$

111

Two diagrams of empirical standard reduction potentials... (UPDATED) 112

A **Latimer diagram** is a summary of the E° values for an element; it is useful for visualizing the complete redox series for an element and for determining when disproportionation will occur.



from Wiki

Disproportionation – spontaneous and simultaneous reduction and oxidation of a molecule (the opposite is **comproportionation** (AKA: **sympportionation**))

- (1) Does Mn^{2+} disproportionate? **NO.** $E^\circ = E_{\text{red}} - E_{\text{ox}} = 1.18 - 1.51 = -0.33 \text{ V}$
 (2) What is the standard reduction potential of MnO_4^- to MnO_2 ?

$\Delta G^\circ = -nFE^\circ = -3FE^\circ$

$\Delta G^\circ = -nFE^\circ_1 + -nFE^\circ_2 = -F[(1 \times 0.56 \text{ V}) + (2 \times 2.26 \text{ V})] = -F(5.08 \text{ V})$

Set them equal to each other, and thus, $3E^\circ = 5.08$ and $E^\circ \approx 1.69 \text{ V}$

... for #1, you can work with E° only (do not need ΔG°), because the reaction is always equal in the number of electrons

112